arXiv:1303.5151v1 [math.AG] 21 Mar 2013

Notes on hyperelliptic fibrations of genus 3, II

Masaaki Murakami

Abstract

This is the part II of the series under the same title. In part I, using the approach developed by Catanese–Pignatelli [5], we gave a structure theorem for hyperelliptic genus 3 fibrations all of whose fibers are 2–connected ([12]). In this part II, we shall give a structure theorem for smooth deformation families of these to non-hyperelliptic genus 3 fibrations. As an application, we shall give a set of sufficient conditions for our genus 3 hyperelliptic fibration above to allow deformation to non-hyperelliptic fibrations, and use this to study certain minimal regular surfaces with $c_1^2 = 8$ and $p_g = 4$: we shall find in the moduli space two strata $\mathcal{M}_0^\sharp$ and $\mathcal{M}_0^\flat$ (each of dimensions 32 and 30, respectively), and show that Bauer–Pingatelli's stratum $\mathcal{M}_0$ ([3]) and its 26-dimensional substratum are at the boundary of these new strata $\mathcal{M}_0^\sharp$ and $\mathcal{M}_0^\flat$, respectively.

1 Introduction

In classification of algebraic surfaces of general type, we sometimes encounter a situation where we have classified all the possible types of the surfaces of a class, but not yet having clarified the global structure of moduli space. Such situation appears for example in the case of minimal regular surfaces with $c_1^2 = 6$ and $p_g = 4$, where Horikawa classified the surfaces into 11 types, and showed, gluing these strata, that their moduli space has at most 3 connected components ([11]). Although Bauer–Catanese–Pignatelli [2] later succeeded in showing that the moduli space has at most two connected components, the determination of the number of the connected components still remains as a challenging problem. Since we have such cases, it is of interest to develop a tool with which we can glue strata of a moduli space.

During this study, the author was supported by DFG Forshergruppe 790 "Classification of algebraic surfaces and compact complex manifolds".

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14J29; 14D06

In the present paper, as an example of such attempts, we shall give a structure theorem for smooth non-hyperelliptic deformation families (over smooth base spaces) of the genus 3 hyperelliptic fibrations treated in [12]. Here by a non-hyperelliptic deformation family we mean a deformation family (of fibrations) whose general fibers give non-hyperelliptic fibrations. We shall also give using this structure theorem a set of sufficient conditions for our hyperelliptic genus 3 fibration to allow a non–hyperelliptic deformation (Theorem 2), and then use it to study certain classes of minimal regular surfaces with $p_g = 4$ and $c_1^2 = 8$. Note that, for our purpose, the explicit construction in the proof of Theorem 2 is as important as the assertion of the theorem itself.

Our structure theorem is, just like those given in [5], a one–to–one correspondence between the deformation families and admissible 5-tuples. Since outline of the proof is similar to that for case of genus 3 fibrations in [5], the second part (i.e., the set of sufficient conditions and its application to the study of minimal regular surfaces with $p_g = 4$ and $c_1^2 = 8$) in a sense is the main part of the present paper.

The study of minimal regular surfaces with $p_g = 4$ has long history from Enriquess [8], and since then there have been a lot of works on the surfaces with $p_g = 4$. After the complete classification of the case $c_1^2 = 7$ by I. Bauer [1], the next case $c_1^2 = 8$ has been of interests of albebro-geometers working on algebraic surfaces (see, e.g., [14], [13], [3], [4]). In the present paper we shall find two strata $\mathcal{M}_0^\sharp$ and $\mathcal{M}_0^\flat$ (in the moduli space) of respective dimensions $\dim \mathcal{M}_0^\sharp = 32$ and $\dim \mathcal{M}_0^\flat = 30$. Then as an application of the sufficient conditions, we shall show that the stratum $\mathcal{M}_0$ in [3] and its 26-dimensional substratum are at the boundary of our two strata $\mathcal{M}_0^\sharp$ and $\mathcal{M}_0^\flat$, respectively. We remark here that, for both of our new strata $\mathcal{M}_0^\sharp$ and $\mathcal{M}_0^\flat$, general members have no canonical involution. It is likely that members of $\mathcal{M}_0^\sharp$ belong to a family constructed by Ciliberto in [6], but as boundary cases (see Remark 2). As for members of $\mathcal{M}_0^\flat$, to the authors knowledge, they are new (see Remark 3).

The present paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we shall prove the existence of a natural one–to–one correspondence between the isomorphism classes of non-hyperelliptic deformation families (of a 2–connected hyperelliptic genus 3 fibration) and the isomorphism classes of admissible 5-tuples. Although outline of the proof is similar to that in [5], we replace details by more concrete computations. This is because at the last part of the proof, because of the lack of compactness of the total space of the deformation families, we want to execute computations using the differential forms in stead of cohomological definition of the dualizing sheaves. These concrete descriptions are implicitly used also in the proof of Theorem 2.

In section 3, we shall give a set of sufficient conditions (Theorem 2), and apply it to the study of minimal regular surfaces with $p_g = 4$ and $c_1^2 = 8$. The proof of Theorem 2 is based on the results in Section 2. As for the application, we shall first find the strata $\mathcal{M}_0^\sharp$ and $\mathcal{M}_0^\flat$, then study general members of Bauer-Pignatelli's $\mathcal{M}_0$ and its substratum, and finally deform the members of $\mathcal{M}_0^\sharp$ to those of $\mathcal{M}_0^\sharp$ and $\mathcal{M}_0^\flat$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author expresses deepest gratitude to Prof. Fabrizio Catanese and Prof. Ingrid Bauer for the comfortable environment, financial support, and discussions. During this study, the author was supported by DFG Forshergruppe 790 "Classification of algebraic surfaces and compact complex manifolds".

NOTATION

In this article, the symbol k always denotes the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$. If V is a locally free sheaf of finite rank on a scheme, $\operatorname{rk} V$ denotes its rank. The symbols $\mathbb{S}(V)$ and $\mathbb{S}^n(V)$ denote the symmetric tensor algebra (of V) and its homogeneous part of degree n, respectively. If R is a graded algebra, R_j denotes its homogeneous part of degree j. Thus, for example, for the polynomial ring $k[x_0, x_1, x_2]$ over the complex number field $k = \mathbb{C}$, an element in $k[x_0, x_1, x_2]_j$ is a homogeneous polynomial in x_0, x_1, x_2 of degree j. The symbol $\amalg$ means taking the disjoint union of sets. If p is a point of a scheme, $k(p)$ denotes the residue field at p of this scheme. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf on a scheme, $\mathcal{F}_p$ denotes the stalk at p of $\mathcal{F}$, and $\operatorname{supp} \mathcal{F}$, the support of $\mathcal{F}$. If moreover the scheme is over k, and $\mathcal{F}$ is coherent, $h^i(\mathcal{F})$ denotes the dimension over k of the i-th cohomology group $H^i(\mathcal{F})$ of $\mathcal{F}$. If $\sigma : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$ is a morphism of sheaves, $\operatorname{Im} \sigma$ denotes the image of σ. The identity morphism of $\mathcal{F}$ is denoted by $\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{F}}$. If W is a subscheme, $\mathcal{F}|_W$ denotes the restriction of $\mathcal{F}$ to W. If S is a scheme, and D, a Cartier divisor on S, then $\mathcal{O}_S$ and $\mathcal{O}_S(D)$ denote the structure sheaf of S and the invertible sheaf associated to D, respectively. If S is a smooth variety over k, the symbol K_S as usual denotes the canonical divisor of S. If $\mathcal{M}$ is a moduli space, and S, a member of $\mathcal{M}$, then $[S] \in \mathcal{M}$ denotes the point of $\mathcal{M}$ corresponding to the isomorphism class of S.

2 Structure theorem

Let us first fix the terminology. Assume we are given a non-singular variety T, a proper smooth family $\pi_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \to T$ of irreducible curves of genus $\mathfrak{b}$, a proper sooth family $\pi_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathcal{S} \to T$ of irreducible surfaces, and a proper flat surjective morphism $\mathfrak{f} : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{B}$ such that $\pi_{\mathcal{S}} = \pi_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \mathfrak{f}$. If we fix a closed point $t_0 \in T$, we shall call the collection of data $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B}, T, t_0, \mathfrak{f}, \pi_{\mathcal{S}}, \pi_{\mathcal{B}})$, a family of deformations of the fibration $f : S = \pi_{\mathcal{S}}^{-1}(t_0) \to B = \pi_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}(t_0)$ (where f is the restriction of $\mathfrak{f}$). For each closed point $t \in T$, we denote by $f_t : S_t = \pi_{\mathcal{S}}^{-1}(t) \to B_t = \pi_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}(t)$ the restriction of $\mathfrak{f}$ to the fibers S_t and B_t over t.

In the present paper, we study the case where the central fibration $f : S \to B$ is a genus 3 relatively minimal hyperelliptic fibration all of whose fibers are 2-connected, and such that for general $t \in T$ the fibration $f_t : S_t \to B_t$ is non-hyperelliptic. In this case, if we replace the parameter space T by smaller one, we may assume that the 2-connectedness property holds for any $t \in T$. So in the present paper, we assume that for any $t \in T$, the $f_t : S_t \to B_t$ is a relatively minimal genus 3 fibration all of whose fibers are 2-connected. We shall call such deformation family a (2–connected) non-hyperelliptic deformation family of the 2-connected hyperelliptic genus 3 fibration $f : S \to B$.

Given such a family, we define the coherent sheaf $\omega_{\mathcal{S}|\mathcal{B}}$ by $\omega_{\mathcal{S}|\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}(K_{\mathcal{S}} - \mathfrak{f}^* K_{\mathcal{B}})$, and denote by $\mathcal{V}_n = \mathfrak{f}_*(\omega_{\mathcal{S}|\mathcal{B}}^{\otimes n})$ the direct image by $\mathfrak{f}$ of its n-th tensor power $\omega_{\mathcal{S}|\mathcal{B}}^{\otimes n}$. Then for any natural number $n \geq 0$, the sheaf $\mathcal{V}_n$ is locally free, and its rank is given by $\operatorname{rk} \mathcal{V}_n = 4n - 2$ for $n \geq 2$, and $\operatorname{rk} \mathcal{V}_n = 3$ for $n = 1$. We define the relative canonical algebra $\mathcal{R}$ by $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{f}) = \oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{V}_n$, and the relative canonical model $\mathcal{X}$ by $\mathfrak{g} : \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{P}\mathrm{roj}\, \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{B}$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is the structure morphism. We put $\pi_{\mathcal{X}} = \pi_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \mathfrak{g} : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{B}$. Then it is easy to see that for any closed point $t \in T$ the natural restriction $g_t : X_t = \pi_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}(t) \to B_t = \pi_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}(t)$ is the relative canonical model of $f_t : S_t \to B_t$.

As in Catanese–Pignatelli [5], we consider the natural morphism $\bar{\sigma}_n : \mathbb{S}^n(\mathcal{V}_1) \to \mathcal{V}_n$ determined by the multiplication structure of the relative canonical algebra $\mathcal{R}$. Let us denote by $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_n = \operatorname{Cok} \bar{\sigma}_n$ the cokernel of the morphism $\bar{\sigma}_n$.

By the same method as in Catanese–Pignatelli [5], we can prove the following:

Lemma 2.1. *For any closed point $b \in \mathcal{B}$, there exists an affine neighbourhood $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{B}$ of b such that $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{U})$ is of the form*

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{U}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{U})[x_0, x_1, x_2, y]/(hy - \bar{Q}(x_0, x_1, x_2),\ y^2 - \bar{P}(x_0, x_1, x_2))$$

($\deg x_i = 1$ *for* $0 \leq i \leq 2$ *and* $\deg y = 2$) *as graded $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{U})$–module, where $\bar{Q}(x_0, x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{U})[x_0, x_1, x_2]_2$ and $\bar{P}(x_0, x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{U})[x_0, x_1, x_2]_4$ are homogeneous polynomials in x_0, x_1, x_2 of degree 2 and 4, respectively, and $h \neq 0 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{U})$ is a non–zero function on $\mathcal{U}$ that identically vanishes on $B_{t_0} \cap \mathcal{U}$.*

We remark here that if we do not take the completion of the square with respect to y, we can set two relations in the relative canonical algebra also in the following form: $hy - x_2^2 + \bar{Q}_1 x_2 + \bar{Q}_2$ and $y^2 - \bar{P}_1 x_2 y - \bar{P}_2 y - \bar{P}_3 x_2 - \bar{P}_4$, where

$\bar{P}_i \in O_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{U})[x_0, x_1]_i$ and $\bar{Q}_j \in O_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{U})[x_0, x_1]_j$, and such that the restriction $\bar{P}_1|_{B_t\cap\mathcal{U}}$ and $\bar{P}_2|_{B_t\cap\mathcal{U}}$ to $B_t \cap \mathcal{U}$ identically vanish.

The functions h's in the lemma above patch to define an effective divisor $\bar{\tau}$ on $\mathcal{B}$, and with this $\bar{\tau}$ the sheaf $\bar{\mathcal{T}} = \bar{\mathcal{T}}_2$ is an invertible $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{\tau}}$–module. Note that the fibration $f_t : S_t \to B_t$ is hyperelliptic if and only if $B_t \subset \mathrm{supp}\bar{\tau}$.

Lemma 2.2. *For any integer* $n \geq 2$, *the image* $\mathrm{Im}\,\bar{\sigma}_n$ *is a locally free sheaf of rank* $4n-2$. *The sheaf* $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_n$ *is a locally free* $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{\tau}}$*–module of rank* $2n-3$.

Proof. We only need to check the assertion in a neighbourhood of each $b \in \mathrm{supp}\,\bar{\tau}$. Take the sections x_i's and y such that the relations in the relative canonical algebra are of the form $hy - x_2^2 + \bar{Q}_1 x_2 + \bar{Q}_2$ and $y^2 - \bar{P}_1 x_2 y - \bar{P}_2 y - \bar{P}_3 x_2 - \bar{P}_4$ and such that $\bar{P}_1|_{B_t\cap\mathcal{U}} \equiv \bar{P}_2|_{B_t\cap\mathcal{U}} \equiv 0$. For each $n \geq 0$ we denote by $(*)_n$ the set of monomials in x_0, x_1 of degree n. Then the disjoint union $(*)_n \amalg ((*)_{n-1} \cdot x_2) \amalg ((*)_{n-2} \cdot y) \amalg ((*)_{n-3} \cdot x_2 y)$ forms a base of the free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{U})$–module $\mathcal{V}_n(\mathcal{U})$, and by the two relations above we see easily that the module $(\mathrm{Im}\,\bar{\sigma}_n)(\mathcal{U})$ is generated in $\mathcal{V}_n(\mathcal{U})$ by the disjoint union $(*)_n \amalg ((*)_{n-1} \cdot x_2) \amalg ((*)_{n-2} \cdot hy) \amalg ((*)_{n-3} \cdot hx_2 y)$. Then the assertion easily follows. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $\mathcal{L}_n = \ker \bar{\sigma}_n$ *be the kernel of the morphism* $\bar{\sigma}_n : \mathbb{S}^n(\mathcal{V}_1) \to \mathcal{V}_n$. *Then the following hold:*

1) $\mathcal{L}_2 \simeq \mathcal{L}_3 \simeq 0$. *Moreover for each* $n \geq 4$, *the sheaf* $\mathcal{L}_n$ *is locally free of rank* $(n-2)(n-3)/2$. *In particular* $\mathcal{L}_4$ *is an invertible sheaf.*

2) *For each* $n \geq 4$, *the natural morphism* $\mathcal{L}_4 \otimes \mathbb{S}^{n-4}(\mathcal{V}_1) \to \mathcal{L}_n$ *is an isomorphism. In particular the relative* 1*–canonical image* Σ *is a divisor in* $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_1)$ *belonging to the linear system* $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_1)}(4) \otimes \mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_1)} \mathcal{L}_4^{\otimes(-1)}|$, *where* $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_1)} : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_1) \to \mathcal{B}$ *is the structure morphism.*

Proof. The assertion 1) follows from the exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{L}_n \to \mathbb{S}^n(\mathcal{V}_1) \to \mathrm{Im}\,\bar{\sigma}_n \to 0$, since $\mathbb{S}^n(\mathcal{V}_1)$ and $\mathrm{Im}\bar{\sigma}_n$ are locally free sheaves of rank $\mathrm{rk}\,\mathbb{S}^n(\mathcal{V}_1) = (n+2)(n+1)/2$ and $\mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Im}\bar{\sigma}_n = 4n-2$, respectively. Let us prove the assertion 2). Since $\mathrm{Im}\,\bar{\sigma}_4$ is locally free, we see that for any closed point $b \in \mathcal{B}$ the natural morphism $\mathcal{L}_4 \otimes k(b) \to \mathbb{S}^4(\mathcal{V}_1) \otimes k(b)$ is injective. Thus for any $n \geq 4$ the natural morphism $(\mathcal{L}_4 \otimes \mathbb{S}^{n-4}(\mathcal{V}_1)) \otimes k(b) \to \mathbb{S}^n(\mathcal{V}_1) \otimes k(b)$ is injective, which implies also the injectivity of the morphism $(\mathcal{L}_4 \otimes \mathbb{S}^{n-4}(\mathcal{V}_1)) \otimes k(b) \to \mathcal{L}_n \otimes k(b)$. This implies the assertion, since we have $\mathrm{rk}\,(\mathcal{L}_4 \otimes \mathbb{S}^{n-4}(\mathcal{V}_1)) = \mathrm{rk}\,\mathcal{L}_n = (n-2)(n-3)/2$. □

As in Catanese–Pignatelli [5], we consider the morphism $\bar{\mathfrak{c}} : \mathbb{S}^2(\bigwedge^2 \mathcal{V}_1) \to \mathbb{S}^2(\mathbb{S}^2(\mathcal{V}_1))$ given by $(a \wedge b)(c \wedge d) \mapsto (ac)(bd) - (ad)(bc)$, and define the coherent sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_4$ on $\mathcal{B}$ by $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_4 = \mathrm{Cok}\,(\mathbb{S}^2(\bar{\sigma}_2) \circ \bar{\mathfrak{c}} : \mathbb{S}^2(\bigwedge^2 \mathcal{V}_1) \to \mathbb{S}^2(\mathcal{V}_2))$. By the same argument as in [5, Lemma 7.6], we see that $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_4$ is a locally free sheaf of rank $\operatorname{rk}\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_4 = 15$. Note that we have a natural short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{S}^2(\bigwedge^2 \mathcal{V}_1) \to \mathbb{S}^2(\mathbb{S}^2(\mathcal{V}_1)) \to \mathbb{S}^4(\mathcal{V}_1) \simeq \operatorname{Cok}\bar{\mathfrak{c}} \to 0.$$

Lemma 2.4. *Let $b \in \mathcal{B}$ be a closed point. Take an affine neighbourhood $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{B}$ of b as in Lemma 2.1, i.e., such that $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{U}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{U})[x_0, x_1, x_2, y]/(hy - \bar{Q}, y^2 - \bar{P})$ for a suitable choice of x_i's $\in \mathcal{V}_1(\mathcal{U})$ and $y \in \mathcal{V}_2(\mathcal{U})$, and of $\bar{Q} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{U})[x_0, x_1, x_2]_2$ and $\bar{P} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{U})[x_0, x_1, x_2]_4$. Define the graded algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})$ by $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{U})[x_0, x_1, x_2, y]/(hy - \bar{Q})$. Then there exist natural isomorphisms $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})_2 \simeq \mathcal{V}_2(\mathcal{U})$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})_4 \simeq \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_4(\mathcal{U})$ for which the morphism $\mathbb{S}^2(\mathcal{V}_2)(\mathcal{U}) \to \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_4(\mathcal{U})$ induced by the multiplication morphism $\mathbb{S}^2(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})_2) \to \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})_4$ coincides with the natural projection $\mathbb{S}^2(\mathcal{V}_2)(\mathcal{U}) \to \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_4(\mathcal{U})$ determined by the definition of $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_4 = \operatorname{Cok}(\mathbb{S}^2(\bar{\sigma}_2) \circ \bar{\mathfrak{c}} : \mathbb{S}^2(\bigwedge^2 \mathcal{V}_1) \to \mathbb{S}^2(\mathcal{V}_2))$.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U}) \to \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{U})$ be the natural projection. Then via this projection we have isomorphisms $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})_i \simeq \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{U})_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Consider the multiplication morphism

$$\mathbb{S}^2(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})_2) \to \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})_4, \tag{1}$$

and denote by $\mathbb{S}^2(\mathbb{S}^2(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})_1)) \to \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})_4$ the composite of two morphisms $\mathbb{S}^2(\mathbb{S}^2(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})_1)) \to \mathbb{S}^2(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})_2)$ and (1), where the $\mathbb{S}^2(\mathbb{S}^2(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})_1)) \to \mathbb{S}^2(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})_2)$ is the morphism induced by the multiplication $\mathbb{S}^2(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})_1) \to \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})_2$. Consider also the the composite $\mathbb{S}^2(\bigwedge^2 \tilde{R}(\mathcal{U})_1) \to \tilde{R}(\mathcal{U})_4$ of the two morphisms

$$\mathbb{S}^2(\bar{\sigma}_2) \circ \bar{\mathfrak{c}} : \mathbb{S}^2(\bigwedge^2 \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})_1)(\simeq \mathbb{S}^2(\bigwedge^2 \mathcal{V}_1(\mathcal{U}))) \to \mathbb{S}^2(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})_2)(\simeq \mathbb{S}^2(\mathcal{V}_2(\mathcal{U})))$$

and (1). Since the $\mathbb{S}^2(\mathbb{S}^2(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})_1)) \to \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})_4$ above factors through the natural multiplication morphism $\mathbb{S}^4(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})_1) \to \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})_4$, we see that the composite $\mathbb{S}^2(\bigwedge^2 \tilde{R}(\mathcal{U})_1) \to \tilde{R}(\mathcal{U})_4$ above is a zero morphism. This implies that the morphism (1) induces a surjection $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_4(\mathcal{U}) \simeq \operatorname{Cok}(\mathbb{S}^2(\bar{\sigma}_2) \circ \bar{\mathfrak{c}}) \to \tilde{R}(\mathcal{U})_4$. Since both $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_4(\mathcal{U})$ and $\tilde{R}(\mathcal{U})_4$ are free of rank 15, we have the assertion. □

Since the natural morphism $\mathbb{S}^2(\mathbb{S}^2(\mathcal{V}_1))(\to \mathbb{S}^2(\mathcal{V}_2)) \to \mathcal{V}_4$ factors through $\mathbb{S}^2(\mathbb{S}^2(\mathcal{V}_1)) \to \mathbb{S}^4(\mathcal{V}_1) \simeq \operatorname{Cok}\bar{\mathfrak{c}}$, this $\mathbb{S}^2(\mathcal{V}_2) \to \mathcal{V}_4$ induces the natural projection $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_4 \to \mathcal{V}_4$. We denote by $\mathcal{L}'_4$ the kernel of this induced projection $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_4 \to \mathcal{V}_4$. Note that we have a natural morphism $\mathbb{S}^4(\mathcal{V}_1) \simeq \operatorname{Cok}\bar{\mathfrak{c}} \to \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_4 = \operatorname{Cok}(\mathbb{S}^2(\bar{\sigma}_2) \circ \bar{\mathfrak{c}})$. Since the composite $\mathbb{S}^4(\mathcal{V}_1) \to \mathcal{V}_4$ of this morphism with the projection $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_4 \to \mathcal{V}_4$ coincides with the multiplication $\bar{\sigma}_4 : \mathbb{S}^4(\mathcal{V}_1) \to \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_4$, we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{L}_4 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{S}^4(\mathcal{V}_1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{S}^4(\mathcal{V}_1)/\mathcal{L}_4 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{L}'_4 \arrow[r] & \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_4 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{V}_4 \arrow[r] & 0\,,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the two rows are exact.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_2)$ be the image of the rational veronese map $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_1) - - \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_2)$. Then the relative canonical model $\mathcal{X}$ of $\mathcal{S}$ is a hypersurface of $\mathcal{W}$ cut out by $\mathcal{L}'_4$.*

Proof. Let $b \in \operatorname{supp} \bar{\tau}$, and take an affine neighbourhood $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{B}$ of b as in Lemma 2.1. Consider the graded algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})$ as in Lemma 2.4. Then the two natural morphisms $\mathbb{S}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})_1) \to \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})$ and $\mathbb{S}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})_2) \to \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})$ induce a rational map $\operatorname{Proj} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U}) - - \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_1)|_{\mathcal{U}}$ and a closed immersion $\operatorname{Proj} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U}) \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_2)|_{\mathcal{U}}$, respectively. Moreover, we see easily that the restriction $(\operatorname{Proj} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U}))|_{\mathcal{U} \setminus \operatorname{supp} \bar{\tau}} \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_1)|_{\mathcal{U} \setminus \operatorname{supp} \bar{\tau}}$ of this $\operatorname{Proj} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U}) - - \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_1)|_{\mathcal{U}}$ is an isomorphism. Thus, since $\operatorname{Proj} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})$ is irreducible and reduced, we conclude that $\mathcal{W}|_{\mathcal{U}} = \operatorname{Proj} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})$. The morphism $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})_4 \to \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{U})_4$ induced by the natural projection $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U}) \to \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{U})$ coincides with the projection $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_4 \to \mathcal{V}_4$ constructed above. □

In the description $\mathcal{W}|_{\mathcal{U}} = \operatorname{Proj} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})$ of $\mathcal{W}|_{\mathcal{U}}$ above, the polynomial $y^2 - \bar{P}$ is a base of $\mathcal{L}'_4(\mathcal{U})$ that cuts out $\mathcal{X}|_{\mathcal{U}}$ in $\mathcal{W}|_{\mathcal{U}}$. Meanwhile the natural rational map $\mathcal{W}|_{\mathcal{U}} - - \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_1)|_{\mathcal{U}}$ is induced by the natural morphism $\mathbb{S}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})_1) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{U})[x_0, x_1, x_2] \to \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})$, and so the polynomial $\bar{Q}^2 - h^2\bar{P}$ is a base of $\mathcal{L}_4(\mathcal{U})$ (i.e., the defining equation of $\Sigma|_{\mathcal{U}}$ in $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_1)$). Note that in $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})$ we have

$$\bar{Q}^2 - h^2\bar{P} = h^2(y^2 - \bar{P}). \tag{2}$$

Since h is a defining equation of the divisor $\bar{\tau}$, it follows from (2) that

$$\mathcal{L}'_4 \simeq \mathcal{L}_4 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}(2\bar{\tau}).$$

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\bar{\psi} : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{X}$ be the natural projection to the relative canonical model $\mathcal{X}$, $\bar{\psi}_1 : \mathcal{X} \to \Sigma$, the natural projection to the relative 1–canonical image Σ, and $\mathfrak{j}_\Sigma : \Sigma \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_1)$, the natural inclusion to the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_1)$. Let $\mathfrak{g} : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{B}$, $\mathrm{pr}_\Sigma : \Sigma \to \mathcal{B}$, and $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_1)} : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_1) \to \mathcal{B}$ be the natural projections. Let ω be the invertible sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$ defined by*

$$\omega = (\mathfrak{j}_\Sigma \circ \bar{\psi}_1)^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_1)}(K_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_1)} + \Sigma - \mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_1)}(K_{\mathcal{B}} + \bar{\tau})).$$

Then $\omega_{\mathcal{S}|\mathcal{B}} \simeq \bar{\psi}^*\omega$, where the sheaf $\omega_{\mathcal{S}|\mathcal{B}}$ is by definition $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}(K_{\mathcal{S}} - \mathfrak{f}^* K_{\mathcal{B}})$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{W}_1$ be the open set of $\mathcal{W}$ obtained by subtracting the indeterminacy locus of the rational map $\mathcal{W} - - \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_1)$. Put $\mathcal{W}_0 = \mathcal{W}_1 - (\mathcal{W}_1)_{\mathrm{sing}}$. Let us denote by $\varrho : \mathcal{W}_1 \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_1)$ the restriction to $\mathcal{W}_1$ of the rational map $\mathcal{W} - - \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_1)$. Then as Cartier divisors the following hold:

$$\mathcal{X}|_{\mathcal{W}_1} = (\varrho^* \Sigma)|_{\mathcal{W}_1} - 2(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{W}}^*(\bar{\tau}))|_{\mathcal{W}_1} \tag{3}$$

$$K_{\mathcal{W}_0} = (\varrho^* K_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_1)})|_{\mathcal{W}_0} + (\mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{W}}^*(\bar{\tau}))|_{\mathcal{W}_0}, \tag{4}$$

where $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{W}} : \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{B}$ is the natural projection. Indeed (3) follows from (2), and (4) follows from a direct computation of pullbacks of differential forms using the descriptions in Lemma 2.4 of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{U})$. Adding (3) and (4), we see that $(\mathfrak{j}_\Sigma \circ \bar{\psi}_1)^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_1)}(K_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_1)} + \Sigma - \mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_1)}^*(K_{\mathcal{B}} + \bar{\tau}))$ is an invertible sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$ whose restriction to $\mathcal{X}_0 = \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{sing}}$ coincides with the sheaf $\omega_{\mathcal{S}|\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}(K_{\mathcal{S}} - \mathfrak{f}^* K_{\mathcal{B}})$. Thus letting $\{\mathcal{D}_i\}$ be the set of irreducible divisors on $\mathcal{S}$ exceptional with respect to $\bar{\psi} : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{X}$, we see that their exists a collection $\{a_i\}$ $(a_i \in \mathbb{Z})$ such that $(\bar{\psi}^* \omega) \otimes (\omega_{\mathcal{S}|\mathcal{B}})^{\otimes(-1)} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}(\sum a_i \mathcal{D}_i)$ holds. Thus for any closed point $t \in T$ and for any (-2)–curve D on S_t exceptional with respect to $S_t \to X_t$, the equality $(\sum a_i \mathcal{D}_i|_{S_t})D = 0$ holds. Since the intersection form of a fundamental cycle of a rational point is nondegenerate, it follows from this that $a_i = 0$ for all i's. Thus we obtain the assertion. □

Now since $\Sigma \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_1)}(4) \otimes \mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_1)}^*(\mathcal{L}_4^{\otimes(-1)})|$, we have

$$\omega \simeq (\mathfrak{j}_\Sigma \circ \bar{\psi}_1)^* (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_1)}(1) \otimes \mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_1)}^*((\det \mathcal{V}_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}(-\bar{\tau}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_4^{\otimes(-1)})).$$

From this it follows

$$\mathcal{V}_n \simeq \mathfrak{g}_*(\omega^{\otimes n}) \simeq (\mathrm{pr}_{\Sigma *} \bar{\psi}_{1*} \bar{\psi}_1^* \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(n)) \otimes ((\det \mathcal{V}_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}(-\bar{\tau}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_4^{\otimes(-1)})^{\otimes n}, \tag{5}$$

where $\mathcal{V}_n \simeq \mathfrak{g}_*(\omega^{\otimes n})$ follows from Lemma 2.6 and the normality of $\mathcal{X}$. Since we see by easy computation $(\mathrm{pr}_{\Sigma *} \bar{\psi}_{1*} \bar{\psi}_1^* \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(n)) \simeq \mathcal{V}_n$, we obtain (by taking the determinant bundle of (5) for $n = 1$ and $n = 4$) that

$$((\det \mathcal{V}_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}(-\bar{\tau}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_4^{\otimes(-1)})^{\otimes 3} \simeq ((\det \mathcal{V}_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}(-\bar{\tau}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_4^{\otimes(-1)})^{\otimes 56} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}},$$

hence $\mathcal{L}_4 \simeq (\det \mathcal{V}_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}(-\bar{\tau})$. Thus we obtain the following:

Proposition 1. $\mathcal{L}_4' \simeq (\det \mathcal{V}_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}(\bar{\tau})$.

Now let us define the 5–tuple for non-hyperelliptic deformation families. Let T be a smooth variety, $t_0 \in T$, a closed point, and $\pi_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \to T$, a smooth proper family of irreducible curves of genus $\mathfrak{b}$. Let $\mathcal{V}_1$ be a locally free sheaf of rank 3, and $\bar{\tau}$, an effective divisor on $\mathcal{B}$ such that $B_{t_0} = \pi_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}(t_0) \subset \mathrm{supp}\bar{\tau}$.

Assume that we are given an invertible $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{\tau}}$–module $\bar{\mathcal{T}}$. Assume moreover that we are given an extension class

$$\xi : \qquad 0 \to \mathbb{S}^2(\mathcal{V}_1) \to \mathcal{V}_2 \to \bar{\mathcal{T}} \to 0$$

such that $\mathcal{V}_2$ is a locally free sheaf (of rank 6) on $\mathcal{B}$. Then the morphism $\bar{\sigma_2} : \mathbb{S}^2(\mathcal{V}_1) \to \mathcal{V}_2$ in the extension class ξ induces a rational veronese map $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_1) -- \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_2)$. We denote by $\mathcal{W}$ the image of this rational veronese map. Let $\bar{\mathfrak{c}} : \mathbb{S}^2(\bigwedge^2 \mathcal{V}_1) \to \mathbb{S}^2(\mathbb{S}^2(\mathcal{V}_1))$ be the morphism given in the paragraph just after Lemma 2.3, and define the coherent sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{V}_4}$ by $\tilde{\mathcal{V}_4} = \operatorname{Cok}(\mathbb{S}^2(\bar{\sigma_2}) \circ \bar{\mathfrak{c}})$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{V}_4}$ is a locally free sheaf of rank 15. Put $\mathcal{L}_4' = (\det \mathcal{V}_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}(\bar{\tau})$, and assume that we are given an inclusion $\bar{\mathfrak{j}} : \mathcal{L}_4' \to \tilde{\mathcal{V}_4}$. Then $\bar{\mathfrak{j}}$ cuts out a hypersurface $\mathcal{X}$ of $\mathcal{W}$. Consider the following condition:

CD) For any closed point $b \in \operatorname{supp}\bar{\tau}$ the image of the morphism $\mathcal{V}_2 \otimes \mathbb{S}^2(\mathcal{V}_1) \otimes k(b) \to \tilde{\mathcal{V}_4} \otimes k(b)$ and the image of $\mathcal{L}_4' \otimes k(b) \to \tilde{\mathcal{V}_4} \otimes k(b)$ intersect each other only at $0 \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}_4} \otimes k(b)$, where $\mathcal{V}_2 \otimes \mathbb{S}^2(\mathcal{V}_1) \to \tilde{\mathcal{V}_4}$ is the composite of the morphism $(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{V}_2} \cdot \bar{\sigma_2}) : \mathcal{V}_2 \otimes \mathbb{S}^2(\mathcal{V}_1) \to \mathbb{S}^2(\mathcal{V}_2)$ and the natural projection $\mathbb{S}^2(\mathcal{V}_2) \to \tilde{\mathcal{V}_4}$.

We call $(\pi_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \to T,\ \mathcal{V}_1,\ \bar{\tau},\ \xi,\ \bar{\mathfrak{j}})$ a 5–tuple for non–hyperelliptic deformation families, if it satisfies the condition CD) above. Moreover, we say that a 5-tuple $(\pi_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \to T,\ \mathcal{V}_1,\ \bar{\tau},\ \xi,\ \bar{\mathfrak{j}})$ is admissible, if the following two conditions are satisfied:

I) let $\mathfrak{g} : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{B}$ be the natural projection and put $\pi_{\mathcal{X}} = \pi_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \mathfrak{g} : \mathcal{X} \to T$; then for any closed point $t \in T$, the scheme theoretic fiber $X_t = \pi_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}(t)$ has at most rational points as its singularities;

II) $\mathfrak{g} : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{B}$ admits a simultaneous resolution $\bar{\psi} : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{X}$ without taking a branch cover of T, such that for any closed point $t \in T$ the restriction $\psi_t : S_t \to X_t$ of $\bar{\psi}$ to S_t gives the minimal resolution of X_t, where $\pi_{\mathcal{S}} = \pi_{\mathcal{X}} \circ \bar{\psi} : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{X}$ and $S_t = \pi_{\mathcal{S}}^{-1}(t)$.

Remark 1. The condition CD) is equivalent to say that $\mathcal{X}$ does not intersects the indeterminacy locus of $\mathcal{W} - - \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_1)$.

Given an admissible 5-tuple, let $\mathbb{S}^4(\mathcal{V}_1) \to \tilde{\mathcal{V}_4}/\mathcal{L}_4'$ be the composite of the natural morphism $\mathbb{S}^4(\mathcal{V}_1) \simeq \ker \bar{\mathfrak{c}} \to \tilde{\mathcal{V}_4} \simeq \ker(\mathbb{S}^4(\mathcal{V}_1) \circ \bar{\mathfrak{c}})$ and the natural projection $\tilde{\mathcal{V}_4} \to \tilde{\mathcal{V}_4}/\mathcal{L}_4'$. Define the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}_4$ by $\mathcal{L}_4 = \ker(\mathbb{S}^4(\mathcal{V}_1) \to \tilde{\mathcal{V}_4}/\mathcal{L}_4')$. Then $\mathcal{L}_4$ cuts out a relative quartic $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_1)$. The natural projection $\bar{\psi_1} : \mathcal{X} \to \Sigma$ is a birational morphism, and gives an isomorphism when restricted to the inverse image of $\mathcal{B} \setminus \operatorname{supp}\bar{\tau}$. By the same computation as in the proof of Lemma 2.6, we see that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}(K_{\mathcal{S}} - \mathfrak{f}^* K_{\mathcal{B}}) \simeq \bar{\psi}_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}(1)$. From this it follows that $f_t : S_t \to B_t$ is a relatively minimal genus 3 fibration. Since the image of $\bar{\sigma_2} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{k(b)} : \mathbb{S}^2(\mathcal{V}_1) \otimes k(b) \to \mathcal{V}_2 \otimes k(b)$ has rank ≥ 5 for any closed point $b \in \mathcal{B}$, it follows that all the fibers of $f_t : S_t \to B_t$ are 2–connected (see, e.g.,[12, Lemma 4.1]). We shall call $\mathfrak{f} : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{B}$ the non-hyperelliptic deformation family (of the fibration $f_{t_0} : S_{t_0} \to B_{t_0}$) associated to the 5–tuple $(\pi_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \to T,\ \mathcal{V}_1,\ \bar{\tau},\ \xi,\ \bar{\mathfrak{j}})$.

By Lemma 2.6 and Proposition 1, we obtain the following:

Proposition 2. *Let $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B}, T, t_0, \mathfrak{f}, \pi_{\mathcal{S}}, \pi_{\mathcal{B}})$ be a 2–connected non-hyperelliptic deformation family as in the beginning of this section (of a relatively minimal genus 3 hyperelliptic fibration with all fibers 2–connected). Put $\omega_{\mathcal{S}|\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}(K_{\mathcal{S}} - \mathfrak{f}^* K_{\mathcal{B}})$ and $\mathcal{V}_n = \mathfrak{f}_*(\omega_{\mathcal{S}|\mathcal{B}}^{\otimes n})$. Let $\bar{\sigma}_2 : \mathbb{S}^2(\mathcal{V}_1) \to \mathcal{V}_2$ be the morphism induced by the multiplication structure of the relative canonical algebra of $\bar{\mathfrak{f}}$. Let $\bar{\tau}$, $\bar{\mathcal{T}}$, and $\xi : 0 \to \mathbb{S}^2(\mathcal{V}_1) \to \mathcal{V}_2 \to \bar{\mathcal{T}} \to 0$ be as in the paragraph just before Lemma 2.2. Let moreover $\bar{\mathfrak{j}} : \mathcal{L}'_4 \to \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_4$ be as in the paragraph just before Lemma 2.5. Then $(\pi_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \to T,\ \mathcal{V}_1,\ \bar{\tau},\ \xi,\ \bar{\mathfrak{j}})$ is an admissible 5–tuple.*

By the computation above we obtain the following:

Theorem 1. *Let $f : S \to B$ be a relatively minimal genus 3 hyperelliptic fibration all of whose fibers are 2–connected. Then the isomorphism classes of 2–connected non-hyperelliptic deformation families (of the fibration $f : S \to B$) are in one–to–one correspondence with the isomorphism classes of admissible 5–tuples for 2–connected genus 3 non-hyperelliptic deformation families for which the central fibrations $f_{t_0} : S_{t_0} \to B_{t_0}$'s coincide with $f : S \to B$. Here by a 2–connected genus 3 non-hyperelliptic deformation family, we mean a deformation family $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B}, T, t_0, \mathfrak{f}, \pi_{\mathcal{S}}, \pi_{\mathcal{B}})$ (in the sense of the beginning of this section) such that for any general closed point $t \in T$, the fibration $f_t : S_t = \mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{S}}^{-1}(t) \to B_t = \mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}(t)$ is non-hyperelliptic, and such that for any closed point $t \in T$, the fibration $f_t : S_t = \mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{S}}^{-1}(t) \to B_t = \mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}(t)$ is a relatively minimal genus 3 fibration all of whose fibers are 2–connected.*

3 Some regular surfaces with $c_1^2 = 8$ and $p_g = 4$

In this section, using Theorem 1, we shall study some regular surfaces with $c_1^2 = 8$ and $p_g = 4$. More precisely, in the moduli space of minimal regular surfaces with $c_1^2 = 8$ and $p_g = 4$, we shall find two strata $\mathcal{M}_0^\sharp$ and $\mathcal{M}_0^\flat$ (each of dimensions 32 and 30, respectively) whose members have no canonical involution, but have the same deformation type as that of members of the stratum $\mathcal{M}_0$ in Bauer–Pignatelli [3]. It will turn out that the Stratum $\mathcal{M}_0$ (resp. a substratum of $\mathcal{M}_0$) is at the boundary of our stratum $\mathcal{M}_0^\sharp$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_0^\flat$).

An existence theorem

First, we prepare for an existence theorem for non-hyperelliptic deformation families of given 2–connected genus 3 hyperelliptic fibrations, using the language of 5–tuples for (2–connected) hyperelliptic genus 3 fibrations given in the part I of this series [12]. For the definition of a 5–tuple for hyperelliptic fibrations, see [12].

Assume that we are given a relatively minimal genus 3 hyperelliptic fibration $f : S \to B$ with all fibers 2-connected. We put $V_n = f_*(\omega_{S|B}^{\otimes n})$ for each $n \geq 0$. Then the hyperelliptic involution of f induces a splitting $V_n = V_n^+ \oplus V_n^-$ into the eigen–sheaves V_n^+ and V_n^-. The sheaves V_n^+ and V_n^- are locally free. We have $\operatorname{rk} V_1 = \operatorname{rk} V_1^- = 3$, $\operatorname{rk} V_n^\pm = 2n+1$, and $\operatorname{rk} V_n^\mp = 2n-3$, where $\pm$ stands for $+$ if n is even, and for $-$ if n is odd, and $\mp$ stands for $-$ if n is even, and for $+$ if n is odd (throughout this paper, we shall keep this rule as to what the symbols $\pm$ and $\mp$ mean). In particular we have $\operatorname{rk} V_2^+ = 5$ and $\operatorname{rk} V_2^- = 1$.

Let $\sigma_n : \mathbb{S}^n(V_1) \to V_n$ be the natural morphism determined by the multiplication structure of the relative canonical algebra of $f : S \to B$, and denote by $\sigma_n^\pm : \mathbb{S}^n(V_1) = \mathbb{S}^n(V_1^-) \to V_n^\pm$ the restriction of σ_n to $V_n^\pm \subset V_n$. Put $L = \ker \sigma_2^+$. For the proof of the following Lemma see [12]:

Lemma 3.1. $V_2^- \simeq (\det V_1) \otimes L^{\otimes(-1)}$.

Now let us denote by $\mathfrak{c} : \mathbb{S}^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1) \to \mathbb{S}^2(\mathbb{S}^2(V_1))$ the morphism given by $(a \wedge b)(c \wedge d) \mapsto (ac)(bd) - (ad)(bc)$, and define the coherent sheaf $\tilde{V}_4$ on B by

$$\tilde{V}_4 = \operatorname{Cok}(\mathbb{S}^2(\sigma_2) \circ \mathfrak{c} : \mathbb{S}^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1) \to \mathbb{S}^2(V_2)).$$

Then the proof of Catanese–Pignatelli [5, Lemma 7.7] works also for our case of hyperelliptic fibrations. Thus we see that for any closed point $b \in B$ the morphism $\mathbb{S}^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1) \otimes k(b) \to \mathbb{S}^2(V_2) \otimes k(b)$ has rank 6, and that the morphism $\mathbb{S}^2(\sigma_2) \circ \mathfrak{c}$ is injective. It follows that $\tilde{V}_4$ is a locally free sheaf of rank $\operatorname{rk} \tilde{V}_4 = 15$. Note that for our case, we have $V_2 = V_2^+ \oplus V_2^-$, and that the morphism $\mathbb{S}^2(\sigma_2) \circ \mathfrak{c}$ factors through the natural inclusion $\mathbb{S}^2(V_2^+) \to \mathbb{S}^2(V_2)$. Thus $\operatorname{Cok}(\mathbb{S}^2(\sigma_2^+) \circ \mathfrak{c})$ is a locally free sheaf on B of rank 9, and we have

$$\tilde{V}_4 = \operatorname{Cok}(\mathbb{S}^2(\sigma_2^+) \circ \mathfrak{c}) \oplus (V_2^+ \otimes V_2^-) \oplus (V_2^-)^{\otimes 2}.$$

Consider the natural morphism $\mathbb{S}^4(V_1) \simeq \operatorname{Cok} \mathfrak{c} \to \operatorname{Cok}(\mathbb{S}^2(\sigma_2^+) \circ \mathfrak{c})$. Since the composite $\mathbb{S}^2(V_1) \otimes L \to \operatorname{Cok}(\mathbb{S}^2(\sigma_2^+) \circ \mathfrak{c})$ of the two natural morphisms $\mathbb{S}^2(V_1) \otimes L \to \mathbb{S}^4(V_1)$ and $\mathbb{S}^4(V_1) \simeq \operatorname{Cok} \mathfrak{c} \to \operatorname{Cok}(\mathbb{S}^2(\sigma_2^+) \circ \mathfrak{c})$ is a zero morphism, we obtain a natural projection

$$A_4 \to \operatorname{Cok}(\mathbb{S}^2(\sigma_2^+) \circ \mathfrak{c}), \tag{6}$$

where $A_4 = \operatorname{Cok}(\mathbb{S}^2(V_1) \otimes L \to \mathbb{S}^4(V_1))$. Since A_4 is also a locally free sheaf of rank 9 (see [12, Lemma 3.5]), this induced projection (6) is an isomorphism.

Thus we obtain the following:

Lemma 3.2. $\tilde{V}_4 \simeq A_4 \oplus (V_2^+ \otimes V_2^-) \oplus (V_2^-)^{\otimes 2}$.

The next theorem gives a set of sufficient conditions for the existence of non–hyperelliptic deformation families. Note that for our study of regular surfaces with $c_1^2 = 8$ and $p_g = 4$, the explicit construction in the proof of the theorem is as important as the assertion itself of the theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let $f : S \to B$ be a relatively minimal genus 3 hyperelliptic fibration all of whose fibers are 2–connected. Let $V_n = V_n^+ \oplus V_n^-$ be the decomposition of $f_*(\omega_{S|B}^{\otimes n}) = V_n$ into the eigen–sheaves with respect to the hyperelliptic involution. Put $L = \ker \sigma_2 \subset \mathbb{S}^2(V_1)$, where $\sigma_2 : \mathbb{S}^2(V_1) \to V_2$ is the multiplication morphism. Put moreover $\tilde{V}_4 = \operatorname{Cok}(\mathbb{S}^2(\sigma_2) \circ \mathfrak{c})$ and $L_4' = (V_2^-)^{\otimes 2}$. Assume 1) $\dim \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{S}^2(V_1), V_2^-) > \dim \operatorname{Hom}(V_2^+, V_2^-)$, 2) $h^1(\tilde{V}_4 \otimes (L_4')^{\otimes(-1)}) = 0$, and 3) that the relative canonical model X of S is non–singular. Then there exists a deformation family $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B}, T, t_0, \mathfrak{f}, \pi_{\mathcal{S}}, \pi_{\mathcal{B}})$ of the fibration $f_{t_0} = f : S_{t_0} = S \to B_{t_0} = B$ such that for any general closed point $t \in T$ the fibration $f_t : S_t = \pi_{\mathcal{S}}^{-1}(t) \to B_t = \pi_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}(t)$ is non–hyperelliptic.*

Proof. Take an affine neighbourhood $T \subset \mathbb{A}^1$ of $t_0 = \{\zeta = 0\} \in \mathbb{A}^1$, where ζ is the standard global coordinate of the affine line $\mathbb{A}^1$. In what follows, we frequently replace the neighbourhood T by smaller one without mentioning it explicitly.

Put $\mathcal{B} = B \times T$, and denote by $\mathrm{pr}_1 : \mathcal{B} \to B$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \to T$ the first projection and the second projection, respectively. We define the locally free sheaves $\mathcal{V}_1$, $\mathcal{V}_2^+$, and $\mathcal{V}_2^+$ on $\mathcal{B}$ by $\mathcal{V}_1 = \mathrm{pr}_1^*(V_1)$, $\mathcal{V}_2^+ = \mathrm{pr}_1^*(V_2^+)$, and $\mathcal{V}_2^- = \mathrm{pr}_1^*(V_2^-)$. Consider the natural short exact sequence

$$0 \to L \to \mathbb{S}^2(V_1) \to V_2^+ \to 0 \tag{7}$$

determined by the fibration $f : S \to B$.

By the exact sequence (7) and the condition 1), there exists an element $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{S}^2(V_1), V_2^-)$ such that $\phi_0 \neq 0 \in \operatorname{Hom}(L, V_2^-)$, where $\phi_0 = \phi|_L$ is the restriction of ϕ to L. Using the two natural morphisms $\mathrm{pr}_1^*\sigma_2^+ : \mathbb{S}^2(\mathcal{V}_1) \to \mathcal{V}_2^+$ and $\mathrm{pr}_1^*\phi : \mathbb{S}^2(\mathcal{V}_1) \to \mathcal{V}_2^-$ induced by $\sigma_2^+ : \mathbb{S}^2(V_1) \to V_2^+$ and $\phi : \mathbb{S}^2(V_1) \to V_2^-$, respectively, we define the morphism $\bar{\sigma}_2 : \mathbb{S}^2(\mathcal{V}_1) \to \mathcal{V}_2 = \mathcal{V}_2^+ \oplus \mathcal{V}_2^-$ by $\bar{\sigma}_2 = (\mathrm{pr}_1^*\sigma_2^+) \oplus (\zeta \mathrm{pr}_1^*\phi)$. Note that for any non-zero constant $c \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}$, the natural morphism $(\sigma_2^+ \oplus c\phi) \otimes \mathrm{id}_{k(b)} : \mathbb{S}^2(V_1) \otimes k(b) \to V_2 \otimes k(b)$ has rank 5 if $b \in \operatorname{supp} \operatorname{Cok}(\phi_0)$, and has rank 6 if $b \in B \setminus \operatorname{supp} \operatorname{Cok}(\phi_0)$. Thus there exist an effective divisor $\bar{\tau}$ on $\mathcal{B}$ and an invertible module $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{\tau}}$–module $\bar{\mathcal{T}}$ such that $B_{t_0} = \pi_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}(t_0) \subset \operatorname{supp}\bar{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\operatorname{Cok}\bar{\sigma}_2 \simeq \bar{\mathcal{T}}$.

Using this $\bar{\sigma}_2$, we define the locally free sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_4$ on $\mathcal{B}$ by $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_4 = \operatorname{Cok}(\mathbb{S}^2(\bar{\sigma}_2)\circ \bar{\mathfrak{c}} : \mathbb{S}^2(\bigwedge^2 \mathcal{V}_1) \to \mathbb{S}^2(\mathcal{V}_2))$, where $\bar{\mathfrak{c}} : \mathbb{S}^2(\bigwedge^2 \mathcal{V}_1) \to \mathbb{S}^2(\mathbb{S}^2(\mathcal{V}_1))$ is the morphism given in the paragraph just before Lemma 2.4. Note that we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_4|_{B_{t_0}} \simeq \operatorname{Cok}(\mathbb{S}^2(\sigma_2)\circ\mathfrak{c}) = \tilde{V}_4 = A_4 \oplus (V_2^+ \otimes V_2^-) \oplus (V_2^-)^{\otimes 2},$$

where $\tilde{V}_4$ is the sheaf on B_{t_0} as in the beginning of this section. Let us also define the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}'_4$ on $\mathcal{B}$ by $\mathcal{L}'_4 = (\det \mathcal{V}_1)\otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}}(\bar{\tau})$. Note that we have $\mathcal{L}'_4|_{B_{t_0}} \simeq (\det V_1)\otimes \mathcal{O}_{B_{t_0}}(\tau)$, where τ is an effective divisor on $B = B_{t_0}$ such that $\mathcal{O}_\tau \simeq \operatorname{Cok}\phi_0$. Thus we have

$$\mathcal{L}'_4|_{B_{t_0}} \simeq (\det V_1)\otimes V_2^- \otimes L^{\otimes -1} \simeq (V_2^-)^{\otimes 2}.$$

Now let $\delta : (V_2^-)^{\otimes 2} \to A_4$ be the morphism determined by the multiplication structure of the relative canonical algebra of the fibration $f : S \to B$ (see [12, Remark 2]). We define the morphism of sheaves on B

$$\mathfrak{j} : L'_4 \simeq (V_2^-)^{\otimes 2} \to \tilde{V}_4 = A_4 \oplus (V_2^+ \otimes V_2^-) \oplus (V_2^-)^{\otimes 2},$$

by $\mathfrak{j} = (-\delta)\oplus 0 \oplus \mathrm{id}_{(V_2^-)^{\otimes 2}}$. This defines an element of $H^0(\tilde{V}_4 \otimes (L'_4)^{\otimes(-1)}) = H^0((\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_4 \otimes (\mathcal{L}'_4)^{\otimes(-1)})|_{B_{t_0}})$. Note that the sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_4 \otimes (\mathcal{L}'_4)^{\otimes(-1)}$ is flat over T. Thus by the assumption $h^1(\tilde{V}_4 \otimes (L'_4)^{\otimes(-1)}) = 0$ and the base change theorem, we obtain a morphism $\bar{\mathfrak{j}} : \mathcal{L}'_4 \to \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_4$ such that $\bar{\mathfrak{j}}|_{B_{t_0}} = \mathfrak{j}$.

Since $\mathfrak{j} = (-\delta)\oplus 0 \oplus (\mathrm{id}_{(V_2^-)^{\otimes 2}})$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{j}}|_{B_{t_0}} = \mathfrak{j}$, we see that if we replace the affine neighbourhood T by smaller one, the morphism $\bar{\mathfrak{j}}$ satisfies the condition CD) in Section 2 (see Remark 1). Thus $\pi_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \to T$, $\mathcal{V}_1$, $0 \to \mathbb{S}^2(\mathcal{V}_1) \to \mathcal{V}_2 \to \bar{\mathcal{T}} \to 0$, $\bar{\tau}$, and $\bar{\mathfrak{j}}$ obtained above form a 5–tuple for non-hyperelliptic deformation families of the fibration $f_{t_0} = f : S_{t_0} = S \to B_{t_0} = B$.

Now let $\mathfrak{g} : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{B}$ be the relative canonical model associated to this 5-tuple. By the definition of $\mathfrak{j}$, we see that $\pi_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}(t_0)$ (where $\pi_{\mathcal{X}} = \pi_{\mathcal{B}}\circ\mathfrak{g}$) coincides with the relative canonical model X of our S. Since we have assumed that X is non–singular, we see that if we replace the affine neighbourhood T by smaller one, our $\mathcal{X}$ is non–singular. In particular, the 5–tuple above is admissible. Thus, putting $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{X}$, we obtain the assertion. □

The stratum $\mathcal{M}_0^\sharp$

Next let us construct a family of minimal regular surfaces with $c_1^2 = 8$ and $p_g = 4$ that allow non–hyperelliptic genus three fibrations. We use the same method as in Catanese–Pignatelli [5]. Let $B = \mathbb{P}^1$ be the projective line, and put $V_1 = \mathcal{O}_B(2)\oplus\mathcal{O}_B(2)\oplus\mathcal{O}_B(3)$ and $V_2 = \mathcal{O}_B(5)^{\oplus 3}\oplus\mathcal{O}_B(5)^{\oplus 2}\oplus\mathcal{O}_B(6)$.

Let $\{\eta_0, \eta_1\}$ be a base of the vector space $H^0(\mathcal{O}_B(1))$. Consider the natural inclusion

$$\mathcal{O}_B(4)^{\oplus 3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(5)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6) \subset V_2 = \mathcal{O}_B(5)^{\oplus 3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(5)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6) \quad (8)$$

induced by the morphism $(\eta_0,\, \eta_0 - \eta_1,\, \eta_1)\times : \mathcal{O}_B(4)^{\oplus 3} \to \mathcal{O}_B(5)^{\oplus 3}$ and the identity of $\mathcal{O}_B(5)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)$. We take a general isomorphism

$$\mathbb{S}^2(V_1) \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(4)^{\oplus 3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(5)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6) \to \mathcal{O}_B(4)^{\oplus 3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(5)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6) \quad (9)$$

and denote by $\sigma_2 : \mathbb{S}^2(V_1) \to V_2$ the composite of the two morphisms (9) and (8). Then $\eta_0\eta_1(\eta_0 - \eta_1) = 0$ defines an effective divisor τ (of degree 3) of B such that $0 \to \mathbb{S}^2(V_1) \to V_2 \to \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{O}_\tau \to 0$ is exact.

Let $W^\sharp$ be the image of the rational veronese map $\mathbb{P}(V_1) - - \to \mathbb{P}(V_2)$ induced by σ_2. Then by the same method as in [5, Theorem 8.2], we see that if the isomorphism (9) is sufficiently general, then the variety $W^\sharp$ has at most singularities supported on the indeterminacy locus of the natural birational map $W^\sharp - - \to \mathbb{P}(V_1)$. Let us define the invertible sheaf L'_4 on B by $L'_4 \simeq (\det V_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(\tau) \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(10)$. Letting $\mathfrak{c} : \mathbb{S}^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1) \to \mathbb{S}^2(\mathbb{S}^2(V_1))$ to be the morphism given by $(a \wedge b)(c \wedge d) \to (ac)(bd) - (ad)(bc)$, we again define the sheaf $\tilde{V}_4$ on B by $\tilde{V}_4 = \operatorname{Cok}(\mathbb{S}^2(\sigma_2) \circ \mathfrak{c} : \mathbb{S}^2(\mathbb{S}^2(V_1)) \to \mathbb{S}^2(V_2))$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let* $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{P}(V_2)} : \mathbb{P}(V_2) \to B$ *be the structure morphism of* $\mathbb{P}(V_2)$, *and* $L'_4 \to \tilde{V}_4$, *a sufficiently general morphism. Then the hypersurface* $X^\sharp$ *of* $W^\sharp$ *cut out by the corresponding section of* $H^0((\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_2)}(2) \otimes \mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathbb{P}(V_2)}((L'_4)^{\otimes(-1)}))|_{W^\sharp})$ *is a minimal regular surface with* $c_1^2 = 8$ *and* $p_g = 4$. *The natural projection* $f^\sharp : S^\sharp = X^\sharp \to B$ *gives a non–hyperelliptic genus* 3 *fibration all of whose fibers are* 2*–connected.*

Proof. Since we have $\mathbb{S}^2(V_2) \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(10)^{\oplus 15} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(11)^{\oplus 5} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(12)$ and $L'_4 \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(10)$, the linear system $|(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_2)}(2) \otimes \mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathbb{P}(V_2)}((L'_4)^{\otimes(-1)}))|_{W^\sharp}|$ is free from base points. Thus a general member $S^\sharp = X^\sharp$ of this linear system on $W^\sharp$ is non–singular. Moreover, since $f^\sharp_*(\omega_{S^\sharp}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(1)$, the canonical system $|\omega_{S^\sharp}|$ is free from base points. Thus the assertion follows from [5, Theorem 7.13]. □

Let $\Phi_{|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(1) \otimes \mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(\omega_B)|} : \mathbb{P}(V_1) \to \mathbb{P}^3$ be the morphism determined by the linear system $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(1) \otimes \mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(\omega_B)|$. Let $\Sigma^\sharp \subset \mathbb{P}(V_1)$ be the relative 1–canonical image of $S^\sharp$. Letting x_0, x_1, and x_2 be local bases of the direct summands $\mathcal{O}_B(2)$, $\mathcal{O}_B(2)$, and $\mathcal{O}_B(3)$ of V_1, respectively, we see that $\Phi_{|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(1) \otimes \mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(\omega_B)|}$ is the contraction of $\{x_2 = 0\} \simeq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_B(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(2)) \subset \mathbb{P}(V_1)$ to a line in $\mathbb{P}^3$, and that $\Sigma^\sharp$ is not contained in $\{x_2 = 0\}$. Thus, since the canonical map $\Phi_{|K_{S^\sharp}|}$ of $S^\sharp$ is the composite of the natural projection

$S^\sharp = X^\sharp \to \varSigma^\sharp \subset \mathbb{P}(V_1)$ and the birational morphism $\varPhi_{|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(1) \otimes \mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(\omega_B)|}$, we see that the canonical map $\varPhi_{|K_{S^\sharp}|}$ is birational.

Now let us compute the dimension of the stratum (in the moduli space) given by the surfaces with $c_1^2 = 8$ and $p_g = 4$ constructed above.

First, note that for general σ_2 and general $L'_4 \to \tilde{V}_4$, the singular locus of $\varSigma^\sharp$ is $\varSigma^\sharp \cap \mathrm{pr}^{-1}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(\operatorname{supp} \tau)$, and that for each $b \in \operatorname{supp} \tau$ the inverse image $\varSigma^\sharp \cap \mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(b)$ is a double conic in $\mathrm{pr}^{-1}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(b) \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ by (2). It follows from this that the image $\varPhi_{|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(1) \otimes \mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(\omega_B)|}(\{x_2 = 0\})$ is the unique singular line (in fact a quadruple line) of the canonical image $\varPhi_{|K_S^\sharp|}(S^\sharp)$. The genus 3 fibration $f^\sharp : S^\sharp \to B$ is given by the pullback of the linear system consisting of all the hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^3$ containing this line. Thus in order to compute the dimension of the stratum in the moduli space, we only need to compute the dimension of the family of the isomorphism classes (up to automorphisms of the base curve B) of the non–hyperelliptic genus 3 fibrations constructed above.

Let us compute this dimension. For this, we count the number of parameters that we use in the construction.

First we may assume that $\operatorname{supp} \tau = \{0, 1, \infty\} \subset B = \mathbb{P}^1$. Then the choice of the extension class of $0 \to \mathbb{S}^2(V_1) \to V_2 \to \mathcal{O}_\tau \to 0$ depends on $\dim(\mathrm{Ext}^1_B(\mathcal{O}_\tau, \mathbb{S}^2(V_1))/\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_\tau))$ parameters. Applying the contravariant functor $\{\mathrm{Ext}^n_B(\,\cdot\,, \mathbb{S}^2(V_1))\}_n$ to the short exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{O}_B(-3) \to \mathcal{O}_B \to \mathcal{O}_\tau \to 0$, we obtain $\dim \mathrm{Ext}^1_B(\mathcal{O}_\tau, \mathbb{S}^2(V_1)) = 18$. Thus the choice of the extension class depends on 15 parameters.

Next, under a fixed extension class, the choice of $X^\sharp$ cut out by $L'_4 \to \tilde{V}_4$ depends on $\dim \mathbb{P}(H^0(\tilde{V}_4 \otimes (L'_4)^{\otimes(-1)}))$ parameters. Let us compute this dimension. Note that we have the short exact sequence $0 \to \mathbb{S}^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1) \to \mathbb{S}^2(V_2) \to \tilde{V}_4 \to 0$ tensored by $(L'_4)^{\otimes(-1)}$. Thus when $V_2 \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(5)^{\oplus 3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(5)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)$, we obtain $h^1(\tilde{V}_4 \otimes (L'_4)^{\otimes(-1)}) = 0$. This implies $h^0(\tilde{V}_4 \otimes (L'_4)^{\otimes(-1)}) = \chi(\mathbb{S}^2(V_2) \otimes (L'_4)^{\otimes(-1)}) - \chi(\mathbb{S}^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1) \otimes (L'_4)^{\otimes(-1)})$ and $h^1(\tilde{V}_4 \otimes (L'_4)^{\otimes(-1)}) = 0$ for a general $S^\sharp$ in our construction. But we see easily that $\deg(\mathbb{S}^2(V_2) \otimes (L'_4)^{\otimes(-1)}) = 7$ and $\deg(\mathbb{S}^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1) \otimes (L'_4)^{\otimes(-1)}) = -4$. It follows that $h^0(\tilde{V}_4 \otimes (L'_4)^{\otimes(-1)}) = 26$ for general $S^\sharp$. Thus the choice of $X^\sharp$ depends on 25 parameters.

So we have $15 + 25 = 40$ parameters. We however need to subtract $\dim \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}(V_1)/B) = 8$. From this we see that the minimal surfaces $S^\sharp$'s constructed above form a 32–dimensional stratum in the moduli space of minimal regular surfaces with $c_1^2 = 8$ and $p_g = 4$. Thus we obtain the following:

Proposition 3. *In the moduli space of minimal regular surfaces with* $c_1^2 = 8$

and $p_g = 4$, there exists a 32–dimensional irreducible stratum $\mathcal{M}_0^\sharp$ whose members $S^\sharp$'s admit non–hyperelliptic genus 3 fibrations $f^\sharp : S^\sharp \to B$'s with $V_1 \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(3)$ and $L'_4 \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(10)$, and such that the members $S^\sharp$'s have birational canonical maps.

Remark 2. It is likely that the canonical image of a general $S^\sharp$ constructed above belongs to Ciliberto's family $\mathcal{K}(8)$ in [6, Theorem 8.1]. We however remark that our $S^\sharp$ has canonical image with non-ordinary singularities (indeed, the quadruple line at the image by $\Phi_{|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(1)\otimes\mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(\omega_B)|}$ of $\{x_2 = 0\}$), hence at least not corresponding to a main member of $\mathcal{K}(8)$.

THE STRATUM $\mathcal{M}_0^\flat$

Let us construct one more family of minimal regular surfaces with $c_1^2 = 8$ and $p_g = 4$ that allow non–hyperelliptic genus 3 fibrations. Let $B = \mathbb{P}^1$ again be the projective line, and put this time $V_1 = \mathcal{O}_B(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(3) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(3)$ and $V_2 = \mathcal{O}_B(5) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(4)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 3}$. Consider the natural inclusion

$$\mathcal{O}_B(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(4)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 3} \subset V_2 = \mathcal{O}_B(5) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(4)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 3} \tag{10}$$

induced by the morphism $\eta_0\eta_1(\eta_0 - \eta_1)\times : \mathcal{O}_B(2) \to \mathcal{O}_B(5)$ and the identity of $\mathcal{O}_B(4)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 3}$, where $\{\eta_0, \eta_1\}$ is again a base of the vector space $H^0(\mathcal{O}_B(1))$. We take a general isomorphism

$$\mathbb{S}^2(V_1) \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(4)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 3} \to \mathcal{O}_B(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(4)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 3}, \tag{11}$$

and repeat the same steps as in the case of the stratum $\mathcal{M}_0^\sharp$. Then the image $W^\flat$ of the rational veronese map $\mathbb{P}(V_1) - - \to \mathbb{P}(V_2)$ has at most singularities supported on the indeterminacy locus of the rational map $W^\flat - - \to \mathbb{P}(V_1)$.

This time, the linear system $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_2)}(2)\otimes\mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathbb{P}(V_2)}((L'_4)^{\otimes(-1)})|$ has non–empty base locus. But by the same method as in [5, Theorem 8.2], we can show that the linear system $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_2)}(2) \otimes \mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathbb{P}(V_2)}((L'_4)^{\otimes(-1)})||_{W^\flat}$ (and hence also $|(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_2)}(2) \otimes \mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathbb{P}(V_2)}((L'_4)^{\otimes(-1)}))|_{W^\flat}|$) is free from base points. Thus, putting $S^\flat = X^\flat$, we obtain a relatively minimal non–hyperelliptic genus 3 fibration $f^\flat : S^\flat \to B$ all of whose fibers are 2-connected, where $X^\flat$ is the relative canonical model associated to the 5–tuple.

Let x_0, x_1, and x_2 be local bases of the direct summands $\mathcal{O}_B(1)$, $\mathcal{O}_B(3)$, and $\mathcal{O}_B(3)$, respectively, of V_1. Then the section $\Delta = \{x_1 = x_2 = 0\} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}(V_1)$ of the projection $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)} : \mathbb{P}(V_1) \to B$ is the base locus of the linear system $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(1) \otimes \mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}\omega_B|$. Note that we have a natural isomorphism $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(1) \otimes \mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}\omega_B) \simeq H^0(\omega_S)$. Note also that if we let Z_0 be a local base of the direct summand $\mathcal{O}_B(5)$ of the sheaf $V_2 = \mathcal{O}_B(5) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(4)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 3}$, then the coefficient to Z_0^2 of a general element of $H^0(\mathbb{S}^2(V_2) \otimes$

$(L'_4)^{\otimes(-1)})$ is nowhere vanishing (because $L'_4 \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(10)$). From these we see easily that for general $S^\flat$ in our construction, the relative 1–canonical image $\Sigma^\flat \subset \mathbb{P}(V_1)$ does not intersects the section $\Delta \subset \mathbb{P}(V_1)$. In particular, we see that the canonical system $|K_{S^\flat}|$ is free from base points, and that our $S^\flat$ is a minimal regular surface with $c_1^2 = 8$ and $p_g = 4$. Thus, we obtain the following:

Lemma 3.4. *Let* $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{P}(V_2)} : \mathbb{P}(V_2) \to B$ *be the structure morphism of* $\mathbb{P}(V_2)$*, and* $L'_4 \to \tilde{V}_4$*, a sufficiently general morphism. Then the hypersurface* $X^\flat$ *of* $W^\flat$ *cut out by the corresponding section of* $H^0((\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_2)}(2) \otimes \mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathbb{P}(V_2)}((L'_4)^{\otimes(-1)}))|_{W^\flat})$ *is a minimal regular surface with* $c_1^2 = 8$ *and* $p_g = 4$*. The natural projection* $f^\flat : S^\flat = X^\flat \to B$ *gives a non–hyperelliptic genus* 3 *fibration all of whose fibers are* 2*–connected.*

Let $\Phi_{|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(1)\otimes \mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(\omega_B)|} : \mathbb{P}(V_1) - - \to \mathbb{P}^3$ be the rational map determined by the linear system $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(1) \otimes \mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(\omega_B)|$. This time we see easily that its image is a non–singular quadric $\mathcal{Q} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times B \subset \mathbb{P}^3$, that this $\mathbb{P}(V_1) - - \to \mathcal{Q} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times B$ is compatible with the projections to B, and that for each closed point $b \in B$ the restriction $\mathbb{P}^2 \simeq \mathrm{pr}^{-1}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(b) - - \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \{b\} \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times B$ is the linear projection from the point $\Delta \cap \mathrm{pr}^{-1}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(b) \in \mathrm{pr}^{-1}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(b)$. Since the canonical map $\Phi_{|K_{S^\flat}|}$ of $S^\flat$ is the composite of the natural projection $S^\flat \to \Sigma^\flat \subset \mathbb{P}(V_1)$ and the rational map $\Phi_{|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(1)\otimes \mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(\omega_B)|}$, it follows that $\Phi_{|K_{S^\flat}|}$ is a morphism of degree 4 onto its image $\mathcal{Q}$.

Lemma 3.5. *If the surface* $S^\flat$ *in the construction above is general, then* $S^\flat$ *has no canonical involution.*

Proof. First let us prove that any general $S^\flat$ in our construction satisfies the following: for a general fiber F of $f^\flat : S^\flat \to B$, the restriction $F \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \{f^\flat(F)\} \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times B$ of $\Phi_{|K_{S^\flat}|}$ has exactly 12 critical values, and for each of these critical values there exists only one critical point of $F \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \{f^\flat(F)\}$ lying over it.

For this purpose, let $\sigma_2 : \mathbb{S}^2(V_1) \to V_2$ be the composite of the isomorphism (11) and the natural inclusion (10). Then, by $L'_4 = (\det V_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(\tau) \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(10)$, we see easily that there exist an isomorphism (11) and a morphism $L'_4 \to \mathbb{S}^2(V_2)$ $(\to \tilde{V}_4)$ such that the associated $f^\flat : S^\flat \to B$ has a general fiber of the form $\{x_0^4 + \alpha x_0 + \beta = 0\} \subset \mathrm{pr}^{-1}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(f^\flat(F)) \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$, where $\alpha \in k[x_1, x_2]_3$ and $\beta \in k[x_1, x_2]_4$ are general homogeneous polynomials of degree 3 and 4, respectively. Since the discriminant of the degree 4 polynomial $x_0^4 + \alpha x_0 + \beta$ in x_0 is $u((16)^2\beta^3 - 27\alpha^4)$ for a certain non–zero constant $u \in \mathbb{C}$, the restriction $\Phi_{|K_{S^\flat}|}|_F : (x_0 : x_1 : x_2) \mapsto (x_1 : x_2) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \{f^\flat(F)\} \subset \mathcal{Q}$ of the canonical map $\varPhi_{|K_{S^\flat}|}$ to F has 12 distinct critical values. This together with the Hurwitz formula implies that, also for general $\sigma_2 : \mathbb{S}^2(V_1) \to V_2$ (with $V_1 \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(3) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(3)$), the associated $f^\flat : S^\flat \to B$ satisfies the condition stated at the beginning of this proof.

Now assume that a general $S^\flat$ in our construction has a canonical involution ι. Then, since the canonical map $\varPhi_{|K_{S^\flat}|} : S^\flat \to \mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is the composite of the natural projection $S^\flat \to \varSigma^\flat$ and the rational map $\varPhi_{|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(1)\otimes \mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(\omega_B)|} : \mathbb{P}(V_1) - - \to \mathbb{P}^3$, any general fiber F of $f^\flat$ is stable under the action by $\langle\iota\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2$, where $\langle\iota\rangle$ is the group generated by the involution ι. The natural projection $F \to F/\langle\iota\rangle$ is a double cover onto a non–singular curve $F/\langle\iota\rangle$ (of genus $g(F/\langle\iota\rangle)$). Recall that the restriction $\varPhi_{|K_{S^\flat}|}|_F : F \to \varPhi_{|K_{S^\flat}|}(F)$ to F of the canonical map $\varPhi_{|K_{S^\flat}|}$ has 12 critical values. By the uniqueness of the critical point lying over each of these 12 critical values, we see that all of these 12 critical points are fixed points of ι, which contradicts the implication by the Hurwitz formula that the number of the fixed points of ι is $8 - 4g(F/\langle\iota\rangle)$. Thus our general $S^\flat$ has no canonical involution. $\square$

Now let us compute the dimension of the stratum (in the moduli space of minimal regular surfaces with $c_1^2 = 8$ and $p_g = 4$) filled up by $S^\flat$'s of our construction. We use the same method as in the computation for the case of the stratum $\mathcal{M}_0^\sharp$.

First, recall that for general σ_2 and general $L'_4 \to \tilde{V}_4$, the canonical image $\varPhi_{|K_{S^\sharp}|}(S^\sharp)$ of $S^\sharp$ is a non–singular quadric $\mathcal{Q} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times B \subset \mathbb{P}^3$. The fibration $f^\flat : S^\flat \to B$ is given by the pullback of one of the two rulings of the quadric $\mathcal{Q}$. Thus in order to compute the dimension of the stratum, we only need to compute the dimension of the family of isomorphism classes (up to automorphisms of the base curve B) of the non–hyperelliptic genus 3 fibrations constructed above.

Let us compute this dimension.

First, by the same argument as in the case of the stratum $\mathcal{M}_0^\sharp$, we obtain $\dim \mathrm{Ext}^1_B(\mathcal{O}_\tau, \mathbb{S}^2(V_1)) = 18$. Thus the choice of the extension class $0 \to \mathbb{S}^2(V_1) \to V_2 \to \mathcal{O}_\tau \to 0$ depends on 15 parameters.

Next, under the fixed extension class, the choice of the hypersurface $X^\flat$ of $W^\flat$ cut out by $L'_4 \to \tilde{V}_4$ depends on $h^0(\tilde{V}_4 \otimes (L'_4)^{\otimes(-1)})$ parameters. Let us compute this dimension. Note that we have $V_1 \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(3) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(3)$, hence $\bigwedge^2 V_1 \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(4)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)$ and $\mathbb{S}^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1) \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(8)^{\oplus 3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(10)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(12)$. Note also that when $V_2 \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(5) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(4)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 3}$, we have

$$\mathbb{S}^2(V_2) \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(8)^{\oplus 3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(9)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(10)^{\oplus 7} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(11)^{\oplus 3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(12)^{\oplus 6}.$$

Let us denote by $\mathcal{O}_B(8)^{\oplus 3} \to \mathbb{S}^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)$ and $\mathbb{S}^2(V_2) \to \mathcal{O}_B(8)^{\oplus 3}$, the natural inclusion and the natural projection, respectively, of the direct summand

$\mathcal{O}_B(8)^{\oplus 3}$, of $\mathbb{S}^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)$ and $\mathbb{S}^2(V_2)$, respectively. Then we see easily (by computation) that for general σ_2, the composite $\mathcal{O}_B(8)^{\oplus 3} \to \mathcal{O}_B(8)^{\oplus 3}$ of the three morphisms $\mathcal{O}_B(8)^{\oplus 3} \to \mathbb{S}^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)$, $\mathbb{S}^2(\sigma_2) \circ \mathfrak{c} : \mathbb{S}^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1) \to \mathbb{S}^2(V_2)$, and $\mathbb{S}^2(V_2) \to \mathcal{O}_B(8)^{\oplus 3}$ is a surjection (hence an isomorphism). This implies that the image $(\mathbb{S}^2(\sigma_2) \circ \mathfrak{c})(\mathcal{O}_B(8)^{\oplus 3})$ is a direct summand in $\mathbb{S}^2(V_2)$. Thus we obtain a short exact sequence

$$0 \to (\mathbb{S}^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1))/(\mathcal{O}_B(8)^{\oplus 3}) \to \mathbb{S}^2(V_2)/((\mathbb{S}^2(\sigma_2) \circ \mathfrak{c})(\mathcal{O}_B(8)^{\oplus 3})) \to \tilde{V}_4 \to 0,$$

where $(\mathbb{S}^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1))/(\mathcal{O}_B(8)^{\oplus 3}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(10)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(12)$ and

$$\mathbb{S}^2(V_2)/((\mathbb{S}^2(\sigma_2) \circ \mathfrak{c})(\mathcal{O}_B(8)^{\oplus 3})) \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(9)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(10)^{\oplus 7} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(11)^{\oplus 3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(12)^{\oplus 6}.$$

From this we obtain $h^1(\tilde{V}_4 \otimes (L'_4)^{\otimes(-1)}) = 0$. This implies that $h^0(\tilde{V}_4 \otimes (L'_4)^{\otimes(-1)}) = 26$ and $h^1(\tilde{V}_4 \otimes (L'_4)^{\otimes(-1)}) = 0$ for a general $S^\flat$ in our construction. Thus under a general fixed extension class, the choice of the hypersurfce $X^\flat$ depends on 25 parameters.

Thus we have $15 + 25 = 40$ parameters. But we have to subtract $\dim \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}(V_1)/B) = 10$. So the surfaces $S^\flat$'s in our construction fill up a 30–dimensional stratum in the moduli space of regular surfaces with $c_1^2 = 8$ and $p_g = 4$. Thus we obtain the following:

Proposition 4. *In the moduli space of minimal regular surfaces with $c_1^2 = 8$ and $p_g = 4$, there exists a 30–dimensional stratum $\mathcal{M}_0^\flat$ whose members $S^\flat$'s admit non–hyperelliptic genus 3 fibrations $f^\flat : S^\flat \to B$'s with $V_1 \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(3) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(3)$ and $L'_4 \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(10)$, and such that the members $S^\flat$'s have canonical maps of degree 4 and no canonical involution.*

Remark 3. Minimal regular surfaces with $p_g = 4$ having canonical maps of degree 4 can be found, e.g., in [10], [9], and [3]. Note however that our general $S^\flat$ has no canonical involution, hence different from these examples. Note also that our $S^\flat$ is topologically simply connected, hence different from those in [7]. The author does not know any reference on minimal regular surfaces with $c_1^2 = 8$, $p_g = 4$ and no canonical involution having canonical maps of degree 4. See, e.g., [4] and [3] for references on surfaces with $c_1^2 = 8$ and $p_g = 4$.

GENERAL MEMBERS OF $\mathcal{M}_0$

Let S be a member of the stratum $\mathcal{M}_0$ given in Bauer–Pignatelli [3]. If S is general, then S is the minimal desingularization of a double cover of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$

branched along a member of the linear system $|8\varDelta_0 + 10\varGamma|$, where $\varDelta_0$ and $\varGamma$ are fibers of the first projection and the 2nd projection, respectively, and the branch curve has exactly 8 quadruple points and no other essential singularity (see [3, Theorem 3.5]). Thus S has a hyperelliptic genus 3 fibration $f : S \to B$ that is induced by the second projection $\mathfrak{q} : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to B = \mathbb{P}^1$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let S be a general member of the stratum $\mathcal{M}_0$, and $f : S \to B$, the hyperelliptic genus 3 fibration given as above. If the corresponding point $[S] \in \mathcal{M}_0$ is general, then all the fibers of $f : S \to B$ are 2–connected. Moreover, $V_1 = f_*(\omega_{S|B}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(3)$ and $L = \ker \sigma_2 \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(2)$ hold.*

Proof. As given in [12, Proposition 8], there exists a member of $\mathcal{M}_0$ such that all the fibers of the associated hyperelliptic genus 3 fibrations are 2–connected (but such that $V_1 \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(3) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(3)$). Since the condition of all the fibers being 2–connected is an open condition in $\mathcal{M}_0$ (see [12, Lemma 4.1]), it follows that for any general member S of $\mathcal{M}_0$ all of the fibers of $f : S \to B$ are 2–connected. Moreover, by [12, Theorem 1], we have $\deg L = 2(\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) + 2) - 8 - c_1^2(S)/2 = 2$. Thus we only need to prove that $V_1 \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(3)$.

Let $\{w_1, \ldots, w_8\}$ be the set of the quadruple points of the branch divisor of $S \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Since $V_1 = f_*(\omega_{S|B}) \simeq \mathfrak{q}_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(2\varDelta_0 + 5\varGamma - \sum_{i=1}^{8} w_i))$, we have for any general choice of $w_1, \cdots, w_8$ a natural short exact sequence

$$0 \to V_1 \to \mathfrak{q}_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(2\varDelta_0 + 5\varGamma) \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{8} \mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{q}(w_i)} \to 0, \tag{12}$$

where $\mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{q}(w_i)}$ is the skyscraper sheaf supported on $\mathfrak{q}(w_i)$ and whose stalk is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$.

Note that we have $(\mathfrak{q}_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(2\varDelta_0 + 5\varGamma)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-3) \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(2)^{\oplus 3}$. Form this we see that, if we take $w_1, \ldots, w_8$ in general position, then

$$h^0((\mathfrak{q}_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(2\varDelta_0 + 5\varGamma - \sum_{i=1}^{8} w_i)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-3)) = 9 - 8 = 1.$$

This implies that for $w_1, \ldots, w_8$ in general position, the morphism

$$H^0((\mathfrak{q}_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(2\varDelta_0 + 5\varGamma)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-3)) \to H^0((\bigoplus_{i=1}^{8} \mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{q}(w_i)}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-3)))$$

associated to the exact sequence (12) tensored by $\mathcal{O}_B(-3)$ is surjective. By this together with $(\mathfrak{q}_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(2\varDelta_0 + 5\varGamma)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-3) \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(2)^{\oplus 3}$, we obtain

$H^1(V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-3)) = 0$. Thus if we write V_1 as a direct sum of invertible sheaves, each direct summand has degree at least 2. Since $\deg V_1 = 7$, this implies $V_1 \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(3)$, hence the assertion. $\square$

Let x_0, x_1, and x_2 be local bases of the direct summands $\mathcal{O}_B(2)$, $\mathcal{O}_B(2)$, and $\mathcal{O}_B(3)$, respectively, of the sheaf $V_1 = \mathcal{O}_B(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(3)$. Then as in the case of $\mathcal{M}_0^{\sharp}$, the morphism $\Phi_{|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(1) \otimes \mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(\omega_B)|} : \mathbb{P}(V_1) \to \mathbb{P}^3$ is the contraction of $\{x_2 = 0\} \simeq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_B(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(2)) \subset \mathbb{P}(V_1)$ to a line in $\mathbb{P}^3$. Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}(V_1)$ be the relative conic cut out by the natural inclusion $L = \ker \sigma_2 \to \mathbb{S}^2(V_1)$. Since the canonical map $\Phi_{|K_S|}$ of S is the composite of the natural projection $S \to C \subset \mathbb{P}(V_1)$ and the morphism $\Phi_{|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(1) \otimes \mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(\omega_B)|} : \mathbb{P}(V_1) \to \mathbb{P}^3$, we obtain the following:

Lemma 3.7. *Let S be a general member of the stratum $\mathcal{M}_0$ in [3]. Then the canonical map $\Phi_{|K_S|}$ of S is a morphism of degree 2 onto its image.*

SOME SPECIAL MEMBERS OF $\mathcal{M}_0$

In [12, Proposition 8], we have constructed a family of members S's of $\mathcal{M}_0$ for which the associated hyperelliptic genus 3 fibrations $f : S \to B$'s have $V_1 \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(3) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(3)$ and $L = \ker \sigma_2 \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(2)$. Let us compute the dimension of the substratum filled up by S's of this family.

We have already shown in [12, Proposition 8] that for general $L \to \mathbb{S}^2(V_1)$ and general $(V_2^-)^{\otimes 2} \to A_4$ the canonical image $\Phi_{|K_S|}(S)$ of S is a non–singular quadric $\mathcal{Q} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times B \subset \mathbb{P}^3$. The hyperelliptic genus 3 fibration $f : S \to B$ is given by the pullback of one of the two rulings of the quadric $\mathcal{Q}$. Thus in order to compute the dimension of the stratum in the moduli space, we only need to compute the dimension of the family of isomorphism classes (up to automorphisms of the base curve B) of the hyperelliptic genus 3 fibrations constructed above.

Again we shall count the number of parameters used in the construction. For the detail of the construction, see [12].

First, the choice of the relative conic $C \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(2) \otimes \mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)} L^{\otimes(-1)}|$ cut out by $L = \ker \sigma_2 \to \mathbb{S}^2(V_1)$ depends on $\dim \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Hom}\,(L, \mathbb{S}^2(V_1)))$ parameters. Since $L \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(2)$ and $\mathbb{S}^2(V_1) \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(4)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 3}$, we have $h^0(\mathbb{S}^2(V_1) \otimes L^{\otimes(-1)}) = 22$. Thus the choice of C depends on 21 parameters.

Next, under a fixed C, the choice of the branch divisor of $X \to C$ cut out by $(V_2^-)^{\otimes 2} \to A_4 = \mathrm{Cok}\,(\mathbb{S}^2(V_1) \otimes L \to \mathbb{S}^4(V_1))$ depends on $\dim \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Hom}\,((V_2^-)^{\otimes 2}, A_4))$ parameters. Let us compute this dimension. First note that we have the short exact sequence $0 \to \mathbb{S}^2(V_1) \otimes L \to \mathbb{S}^4(V_1) \to A_4 \to 0$. Note also that we have in our case $\mathbb{S}^2(V_1) \otimes L \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(4) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(8)^{\oplus 3}$ and

$$\mathbb{S}^4(V_1) \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(4) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(8)^{\oplus 3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(10)^{\oplus 4} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(12)^{\oplus 5}.$$

Let us denote by $\mathbb{S}^4(V_1) \to \mathcal{O}_B(4) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(8)^{\oplus 3}$ the natural projection onto the direct summand $\mathcal{O}_B(4) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(8)^{\oplus 3}$ of $\mathbb{S}^4(V_1)$. Then we see easily (by computation) that for general $L \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(2) \to \mathbb{S}^2(V_1)$ the composite $\mathbb{S}^2(V_1) \otimes L \to \mathcal{O}_B(4) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(8)^{\oplus 3}$ of the morphism $\mathbb{S}^2(V_1) \otimes L \to \mathbb{S}^4(V_1)$ and the projection $\mathbb{S}^4(V_1) \to \mathcal{O}_B(4) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(8)^{\oplus 3}$ is a surjection (hence an isomorphism). Form this it follows that $A_4 \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(10)^{\oplus 4} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(12)^{\oplus 5}$, hence $h^0(A_4 \otimes (V_2^-)^{\otimes(-2)}) = 19$. Thus under a fixed C, the choice of X depends on 18 parameters.

Thus we have $21 + 18 = 39$ parameters. We however need to subtract $\dim \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}(V_1)/B) + \dim \operatorname{Aut}(B) = 13$. So the surfaces S's in our construction fill up a 26–dimensional stratum. Thus we obtain the following:

Proposition 5. *In the stratum $\mathcal{M}_0$ of Bauer–Pignatelli [3], there exists a* 26*–dimensional substratum $\mathcal{M}_0^{\mathrm{sp}}$ whose members S's admit hyperelliptic genus* 3 *fibrations $f : S \to B$'s with $V_1 \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(3) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(3)$ and $L = \ker \sigma_2 \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(2)$, and such that the members S's have canonical maps of degree* 4.

DEFORMATION OF GENERAL MEMBERS OF $\mathcal{M}_0$

Now let us deform general members S's of the stratum $\mathcal{M}_0$ to members of the stratum $\mathcal{M}_0^\sharp$.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $f : S \to B$ be a fibration as in Lemma 3.6. Then $V_2^- \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(5)$. Moreover, if $[S] \in \mathcal{M}_0$ is general, then $V_2^+ \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(5)^{\oplus 4} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)$.*

Proof. First, note that for a general $\nu : \mathcal{O}_B \to \mathcal{O}_B(2)^{\oplus 3}$ we have $\operatorname{Cok} \nu \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(3)^{\oplus 2}$. Indeed, if ν is general, the cokernel $\operatorname{Cok} \nu$ is a locally free sheaf of degree 6 that allows a surjection from $\mathcal{O}_B(2)^{\oplus 3}$, hence $\operatorname{Cok} \nu \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(3)^{\oplus 2}$ or $\operatorname{Cok} \nu \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(4)$. So let us denote by $\Lambda_1 \subset \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_B, \mathcal{O}_B(2)^{\oplus 3})$ the set of ν's such that $\operatorname{Cok} \nu \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(3)^{\oplus 2}$, and by $\Lambda_2 \subset \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_B, \mathcal{O}_B(2)^{\oplus 3})$ the set of ν's such that $\operatorname{Cok} \nu \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(4)$. Then we have

$$\dim \mathbb{P}(\Lambda_1) = \dim \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_B(2)^{\oplus 3}, \mathcal{O}_B(3)^{\oplus 2}) - \dim \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_B(3)^{\oplus 2}) = 8,$$

and, in the same way, $\dim \mathbb{P}(\Lambda_1) = 7$. Thus we have $\operatorname{Cok} \nu \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(3)^{\oplus 2}$ for general ν's.

Take a general $L = \mathcal{O}_B(2) \to \mathbb{S}^2(V_1) \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(4)^{\oplus 3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(5)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)$. Let $\nu' : L \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(2) \to \mathcal{O}_B(4)^{\oplus 3}$ be the composite of this morphism and the natural projection to the first direct summand $\mathcal{O}_B(4)^{\oplus 3}$. Then by what we have just remarked, we have $\operatorname{Cok} \nu' \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(5)^{\oplus 2}$. Thus the morphism $H^0(L \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-6)) \to H^0((\mathcal{O}_B(4)^{\oplus 3}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-6))$ induced by the morphism $L \to \mathcal{O}_B(4)^{\oplus 3}$ is an isomorphism. From this we see that the morphism $H^1(L \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-6)) \to H^1(V_2^+ \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-6))$ induced by the exact sequence

$$0 \to L \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-6) \to \mathbb{S}^2(V_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-6) \to V_2^+ \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-6) \to 0$$

is an isomorphism, hence $H^1(V_2^+ \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-6)) = 0$. This implies that if we write V_2^+ as a direct summand of invertible sheaves, every direct summand has degree at least 5. Since $\deg V_2^+ = 26$, it follows that $V_2^+ \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(5)^{\oplus 4} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)$. The assertion $V_2^- \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(5)$ follows from [12, Proposition 4]. ☐

The following Proposition shows that in the moduli space of regular surfaces with $c_1^2 = 8$ and $p_g = 4$, the stratum $\mathcal{M}_0$ in [3] lies at the boundary of our stratum $\mathcal{M}_0^\sharp$.

Proposition 6. *Let $\mathcal{M}_0$ be the 28–dimensional stratum given in the classification list in Bauer–Pignatelli [3] of minimal regular surfaces with $c_1^2 = 8$ and $p_g = 4$ and with canonical involutions. Then for any general member S of $\mathcal{M}_0$, there exists a deformation family $\pi_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathcal{S} \to T$ of $S = \pi_{\mathcal{S}}^{-1}(t_0)$ such that for any general $t \in T$ the fiber $S_t = \pi_{\mathcal{S}}^{-1}(t)$ is a member of the 32–dimensional stratum $\mathcal{M}_0^\sharp$ constructed in Proposition 3.*

Proof. Let S be a general member of $\mathcal{M}_0$, and $f : S \to B$, its associated hyperelliptic genus 3 fibration as in Lemmas 3.6 and 3.8. We shall deform this fibration $f : S \to B$ using Theorem 2.

Let $L = \ker \sigma_2$ be the kernel of the multiplication morphism $\sigma_2 : \mathbb{S}^2(V_1) \to V_2$, and $C \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(2) \otimes \mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}^* L^{\otimes(-1)}|$, the relative conic in $\mathbb{P}(V_1)$ determined by the natural inclusion $L \to \mathbb{S}(V_1)$. Note that for general $[S] \in \mathcal{M}_0$ the relative conic C is non–singular. Indeed, since we have $\mathbb{S}^2(V_1) \otimes L^{\otimes(-1)} \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(2)^{\oplus 3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(3)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(4)$ by Lemma 3.6, the linear system $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(2) \otimes \mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}^* L^{\otimes(-1)}|$ is free from base points. So the smoothness of C follows from Bertini's Theorem (note here that taking general $[S] \in \mathcal{M}_0$ corresponds to taking general $L \to \mathbb{S}^2(V_1)$ and general $L_4' = (V_2^-)^{\otimes 2} \to A_4$).

Let us check the conditions in Theorem 2 for our case. The condition 1) immediately follows from Lemmas 3.6 and 3.8, because by these lemmas we have $\mathbb{S}^2(V_1) \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(4)^{\oplus 3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(5)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)$, $V_2^+ \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(5)^{\oplus 4} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)$, and $V_2^- \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(5)$. So we only need to check the conditions 2) and 3).

First let us check the condition 2). For this, recall that we have $L_4' = (V_2^-)^{\otimes 2} \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(10)$. Recall also that we have the natural short exact sequence $0 \to \mathbb{S}^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1) \to \mathbb{S}^2(V_2) \to \tilde{V}_4 \to 0$. Since we have $V_2 \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(5)^{\oplus 5} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)$ by Lemmas 3.6 and 3.8, we see from this short excrescence tensored by $(L_4')^{\otimes(-1)}$ that $h^1(\tilde{V}_4 \otimes (L_4')^{\otimes(-1)}) = 0$. Thus we have the condition 2) for our general $[S] \in \mathcal{M}_0$.

Next, let us check the condition 3). Recall that we have $V_2 = V_2^+ \oplus V_2^-$ and $\mathbb{S}^2(V_2) \simeq \mathbb{S}^2(V_2^+) \oplus (V_2^+ \otimes V_2^-) \oplus (V_2^-)^{\otimes 2}$. Meanwhile by Lemma 3.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{S}^2(V_2^+) &\simeq \mathcal{O}_B(10)^{\oplus 10} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(11)^{\oplus 4} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(12),\\ (V_2^+ \otimes V_2^-) &\simeq \mathcal{O}_B(10)^{\oplus 4} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(11),\\ (V_2^-)^{\otimes 2} &\simeq L_4' \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(10).\end{aligned}$$

Thus the linear subsystem of $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_2)}(2) \otimes \mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathbb{P}(V_2)}(L_4')^{\otimes(-1)}|$ given by the linear subspace generated by

$$\{(-\delta,\, 0,\, \mathrm{id}_{(V_2^-)^{\otimes 2}}) : \delta \in \mathrm{Hom}((V_2^-)^{\otimes 2},\, \mathbb{S}^2(V_2^+))\} \subset H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_2)}(2) \otimes \mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathbb{P}(V_2)}(L_4')^{\otimes(-1)})$$

is base point free on $\mathbb{P}(V_2)$, where $(-\delta,\, 0,\, \mathrm{id}_{(V_2^-)^{\otimes 2}})$ is regarded as an element of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_2)}(2) \otimes \mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathbb{P}(V_2)}(L_4')^{\otimes(-1)})$ through the natural isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_2)}(2) \otimes \mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathbb{P}(V_2)}(L_4')^{\otimes(-1)}) &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}((V_2^-)^{\otimes 2},\, \mathbb{S}^2(V_2))\\ &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}((V_2^-)^{\otimes 2},\, \mathbb{S}^2(V_2^+) \oplus (V_2^+ \otimes V_2^-) \oplus (V_2^-)^{\otimes 2}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Bertini's Theorem, for a general $\delta \in \mathrm{Hom}((V_2^-)^{\otimes 2},\, \mathbb{S}^2(V_2^+))$, the hypersurface X cut out by $(-\delta,\, 0,\, \mathrm{id}_{(V_2^-)^{\otimes 2}})$ in $\mathcal{W}|_{B_{t_0}}$ is non-singular, where $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_2)$ is the image of a rational veronese map as in the proof of Theorem 2: since we have already checked the conditions 1) and 2), we can take a lifting $\bar{\sigma_2}$ of σ_2 as in the proof of Theorem 2, and obtain a $\mathcal{W}$ associated to this $\bar{\sigma_2} : \mathbb{S}^2(\mathcal{V}_1) \to \mathcal{V}_2$ (see the proof of Theorem 2). But this X is the relative canonical model of S associated to our general choice of the extension class and δ. Since taking general $[S] \in \mathcal{M}_0$ corresponds to taking general extension class $0 \to L \to \mathbb{S}^2(V_1) \to V_2^+ \to 0$ and general $\delta \in \mathrm{Hom}((V_2^-)^{\otimes 2},\, A_4)$, we have the condition 3) for our general $[S] \in \mathcal{M}_0$.

Thus for any general member S of $\mathcal{M}_0$, all the conditions in Theorem 2 are satisfied. It follows that for any general member S of $\mathcal{M}_0$ there exists a deformation family $(\mathcal{S},\, \mathcal{B},\, T, t_0, \mathfrak{f},\, \pi_{\mathcal{S}}, \pi_{\mathcal{B}})$ of $f : S \to B$ as in the proof of Theorem 2. Note that for our case, the effective divisor τ in the proof of Theorem 2 can be taken in such a way that $|\mathrm{supp}\,\tau| = 3$, because the natural morphism $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{S}^2(V_1),\, V_2^-) \to \mathrm{Hom}(L,\, V_2^-)$ is surjective (here $|\mathrm{supp}\,\tau|$ denotes the cardinality of the support of the divisor τ). Thus, from the construction of the deformation family in the proof of Theorem 2, we see easily that for any general $t \in T$ the fiber $S_t = \pi_{\mathcal{S}}^{(-1)}(t)$ is a member of the 32–dimensional family $\mathcal{M}_0^\sharp$. $\square$

Deformation of general members of $\mathcal{M}_0^{\mathrm{sp}}$

Finally Let us deform general members S's of the substratum $\mathcal{M}_0^{\mathrm{sp}}$.

Lemma 3.9. *Let S be a member of the substratum $\mathcal{M}_0^{\mathrm{sp}}$, and $f : S \to B$, the hyperelliptic genus 3 fibration given in Proposition 5. Then $V_2^- \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(5)$. Moreover if $[S] \in \mathcal{M}_0^{\mathrm{sp}}$ is general, then $V_2^+ \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(4)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 3}$ and $A_4 \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(10)^{\oplus 4} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(12)^{\oplus 5}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, we have $V_2^- \simeq (\det V_1) \otimes L^{\otimes(-1)} \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(5)$. Now note that we have the short exact sequence $0 \to L \to \mathbb{S}^2(V_1) \to V_2^+ \to 0$ (see [12, Lemma 3.4]), and that $L \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(2)$ and $\mathbb{S}^2(V_1) \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(4)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 3}$. So if $L \to \mathbb{S}^2(V_1)$ is general then the composite $L \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(2) \to \mathcal{O}_B(2)$ of the morphism $L \to \mathbb{S}^2(V_1)$ and the natural projection $\mathbb{S}^2(V_1) \to \mathcal{O}_B(2)$ is an isomorphism, hence $V_2^+ \simeq \mathrm{Cok}\,(L \to \mathbb{S}^2(V_1)) \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(4)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 3}$. As for the assertion $A_4 \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(10)^{\oplus 4} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(12)^{\oplus 5}$, we have already shown it in the proof of Proposition 5. ☐

The following proposition shows that in the moduli space of minimal regular surfaces with $c_1^2 = 8$ and $p_g = 4$, the substratum $\mathcal{M}_0^{\mathrm{sp}}$ lies at the boundary of the stratum $\mathcal{M}_0^{\flat}$.

Proposition 7. *Let $\mathcal{M}_0^{\mathrm{sp}}$ be the 26–dimensional substratum given in Proposition 5. Then for any general member S of $\mathcal{M}_0^{\mathrm{sp}}$, there exists a deformation family $\pi_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathcal{S} \to T$ of $S = \pi_{\mathcal{S}}^{-1}(t_0)$ such that for any general $t \in T$ the fiber $S_t = \pi_{\mathcal{S}}^{-1}(t)$ is a member of the 30–dimensional stratum $\mathcal{M}_0^{\flat}$ constructed in Proposition 4.*

Proof. We use the same method as in the proof of Proposition 6. Let S be a general member of the substratum $\mathcal{M}_0^{\mathrm{sp}}$, and $f : S \to B$, the associated hyperelliptic genus 3 fibration. Let us check the conditions in Theorem 2.

By Lemma 3.9, we have $\dim \mathrm{Hom}\,(\mathbb{S}^2(V_1),\, V_2^-) = 8$ and $\dim \mathrm{Hom}\,(V_2^+,\, V_2^-) = 4$. Thus the condition 1) is satisfied.

Also, since $\tilde{V}_4 \simeq A_4 \oplus (V_2^+ \otimes V_2^-) \oplus (V_2^-)^{\otimes 2}$ by Lemma 3.2, it follows from Lemma 3.9 that $h^1(\tilde{V}_4 \otimes (L_4')^{\otimes(-1)}) = 0$, where $L_4' = (V_2^-)^{\otimes 2}$. Thus the condition 2) is satisfied.

Now note that our case of $V_1 \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(3) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(3)$ and $L \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(2)$ belongs to Case B) of [12, Proposition 7]. In the proof for Case B) of [12, Proposition 7], we have shown that if our S is general, then both the associated relative conic $C \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(2) \otimes \mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}^* L^{\otimes(-1)}|$ and the branch divisor of the natural double cover $X \to C$ are non–singular. It follows that the relative minimal model X of our S is non–singular. Thus the condition 3) is also satisfied.

Finally note that the morphism $\mathrm{Hom}\,(\mathbb{S}^2(V_1),\, V_2^-) \to \mathrm{Hom}\,(L,\, V_2^-)$ induced by the exact sequence $0 \to L \to \mathbb{S}^2(V_1) \to V_2^+ \to 0$ is surjective. Thus for our case, we can take the divisor τ in the proof of Theorem 2 in such a way that it is supported on three distinct points in B. Then by the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 6, we obtain the assertion. ☐

References

[1] Bauer, I. Surfaces with $K^2 = 7$ and $p_g = 4$, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **152** (2001), no. 721.

[2] Bauer, I., Catanese, F., Pignatelli, R. The moduli space of surfaces with $K^2 = 6$ and $p_g = 4$, *Math. Ann.*, **336** (2006), no. 2, 421–438.

[3] Bauer, I., Pignatelli, R. Surfaces with $K^2 = 8$, $p_g = 4$ and canonical involution, *Osaka J. Math.*, **46** (2009), no. 3, 799–820.

[4] Catanese, F., Liu, W., Pignatelli, R. The moduli space of even surfaces of general type with $K^2 = 8$, $p_g = 4$ and $q = 0$, `arXiv:1209.0034 [math.AG]`, (2012),.

[5] Catanese, F., Pignatelli, R. Fibrations of low genus, I, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, **39** (2006), 1011–1049.

[6] Ciliberto, C. Canonical surfaces with $p_g = p_a = 4$ and $K^2 = 5, \ldots, 10$, *Duke Math. J.*, **48** (1981), no. 1, 121–157.

[7] Ciliberto, C., Francia, P., Mendes Lopes, M. Remarks on the bicanonical map for surfaces of general type, *Math. Z.*, **224** (1997), 137–166.

[8] Enriques, F. Le superficie algebriche, *Nicola Zanichelli, Bologna*, (1949).

[9] Gallego, F.J., Purnaprajna, B.P. Classification of quadruple Galois canonical covers. II., *J. Algebra*, **312** (2007), no. 2, 798–828.

[10] Gallego, F.J., Purnaprajna, B.P. Classification of quadruple Galois canonical covers. I, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **360** (2008), no. 10, 5489–5507.

[11] Horikawa, E. Algebraic surfaces of general type with small c_1^2. III, *Invent. Math.*, **47** (1978), no. 3, 209–248.

[12] Murakami, M. Notes on hyperelliptic fibrations of genus 3, I, `arXiv:1209.6278 [math.AG]`, (2012).

[13] Oliverio, P. On even surfaces of general type with $K^2 = 8$, $p_g = 4$, $q = 0$, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova*, **113** (2005), 1–14.

[14] Supino, P. On moduli of regular surfaces with $K^2 = 8$ and $p_g = 4$, *Port. Math. (N.S.)*, **60** (2003) no. 3, 353–358.

MASAAKI MURAKAMI,
UNIVERSITY OF BAYREUTH, LEHRSTUHL MATHEMATIK VIII,
UNIVERSITAETSSTRASSE 30, D-95447 BAYREUTH, GERMANY
E-mail address: `Masaaki.Murakami@uni-bayreuth.de`